



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                     |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>                                                        |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AJEA_24991</b>                                                                                                |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>An evaluation of profit margin of sawyers of wood products in the forestry zone surrounding Kumba (Cameroon)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                                                    |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>Abstract</b> needs reorganization to have better flow of information. Check grammar and use of the right terminologies in the area of timber processing.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> The last three sentences are unnecessary for a publication of this nature. Consider deleting them.</p> <p><b>Methodology:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The 1<sup>st</sup> 3 paragraphs are too long and the information could be shortened by avoiding what does not add value to the paper. Consider reviewing this.</li><li>2. The author could consider using standard economic formulae (they are available) and also use formular maker (available in Microsoft office software) to make formulae more presentable.</li><li>3. Consider organizing methodology section in parts to align with the sections discussed in Results.</li></ol> <p><b>Results:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The 1<sup>st</sup> two paragraphs in 3.1 could be moved to methodology if they are to flow.</li><li>2. Consider reviewing the title given to Table 3.</li><li>3. The analysis of profits does not seem to indicate the amount of time per operation. This could be important to enable readers appreciate the real time work, costs and profits being discussed.</li></ol> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> Consider making this section shorter. Just draw conclusion points from the discussed results, short and precise to the point.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                      |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |  |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   | Consider reviewing grammar and flow of information to make the reader comprehend the paper.<br>Consider drawing the readers' attention to the real issues under investigation, what has been found and the value it adds to the sector.<br><br>Keep your Title " <b>An evaluation of profit margin of sawyers of wood products in the forestry zone surrounding Kumba (Cameroon)</b> " in mind through out the discussion to bring out the real issues |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | The Paper to my opinion is good and tries to handle important issues in the wood industry. It can be published after the highlighted issues are reviewed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>George Muthike</b>                           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Kenya Forestry Research Institute, Kenya</b> |