



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_24950
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation the effect of three tillage implements on potato yield and water use efficiency
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is not carefully prepared. The experiments were conducted very simple. This study is lack of novelty and no new findings are shown in this paper. Subheading, Table and Reference list styles did not completely follow the journal's instruction, please carefully check one by one. There are many typing errors and grammar mistakes in manuscript. Line numbers are not added in the manuscript that I can not showed detail of each error. Introduction part should be reduced. Figure 1, 2 and 3 are not scientific values because they do not support for the texts. The abbreviations in manuscript should write in full at the first time such as ASAE, DAB In the discussion part authors should explain more. Figure 4 and 5 need add statistical values (e. g., error bars, p values) so Table 6 is not necessary.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General I comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tran Dang Xuan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Hiroshima University, Japan</i>