



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_24865
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF POST-HARVEST INSECT AND MOULD INFESTATION OF VIGNA SUBTERRANEA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is an interesting manuscript that addresses an important topic, namely insect and mould infestation. The background and results are well presented. My only suggested revision is to provide some more details in the MATERIALS AND METHODS section. I realize Nwankwo <i>et al.</i> and Abriba <i>et al.</i> are referred to, but for the convenience of the reader, some further delineation of the method would be helpful.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Missouri-St. Louis, USA