

Response of different walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) selection to combined application of inorganic fertilisers and organic manures.

ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of combined application of inorganic fertilisers and organic manures was studied on four walnut selections in temperate region of India (Kashmir) The experiment consisted of four selections [SKAU/002 (S_1), SKAU/008 (S_2), SKAU/024 (S_3) and SKAU/040 (S_4)] and six treatments [T_1 (NPK recommended as per package of practices through inorganic fertilizers), T_2 {100 % through manure (FYM 50% + vermicompost 25% + poultry manure 25%)}, T_3 (75% NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through FYM), T_4 (75 % NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through vermicompost), T_5 (75 % NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through poultry manure) and T_6 {75 % NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through manure (1/3 FYM + 1/3 vermicompost + 1/3 poultry manure)} replicated five times and three tree in each replication in Factorial Randomized Block Design. All fertilizers and manures were applied in the first week of December beneath the tree canopy and mixed well with soil. There was significant difference in vegetative growth and yield parameters among different treatments as well as different walnut selections. Results reveal that maximum increment in tree height (16.12 %), tree girth (1.40 %), tree canopy volume (37.85 m³), shoot extension growth (0.81 m), fruit set (40.52%) fruit retention (58.21%), yield (5.87 kg/tree) was found in treatment T_4 . Within selections S_1 showed maximum fruit set (38.96%) and fruit retention (57.53%), however S_2 recorded highest yield (5.53 kg/tree).

Key Words: Chemical fertilisers, growth, organic manure, walnut, yield

INTRODUCTION

Jammu and Kashmir state has created a special place in the international trade of walnuts. The entire export of the country comes from Jammu and Kashmir state. In Jammu and Kashmir state walnut is grown on an area of about 89788 ha with annual production of about 163745 metric tons [1] with the productivity of 1.823 metric tons per hectare. It produces about 98 per cent of the total production in India.

The demand of quality walnuts is increasing day by day in the national and international market, but production of walnut is still low as compared to China, USA, France and other developed countries. Production of horticultural crops has undergone enormous changes in the recent years due to the development of innovative technologies including nutrient management practice. The nutrient management of walnut is one of the important factors to boost the yield and improve the quality of nuts. The application of fertilizers to add N, P and K have influenced the growth of tree and production of fruits like chestnut, grapes, pears, figs and walnut trees [2,3,4]. Fertilization treatments have the potential for increasing growth and nut production of walnuts [5]. Though the chemical farming helped the farmers to accomplish new strides in horticulture, but their indiscriminate and unscrupulous use in horticulture/agriculture has led to deterioration of soil health. The increased use of fertilizers in non-judicious manner, has led to diminishing soil productivity and multiple nutrient deficiencies. The gravity of environmental degradation caused by the faulty cultivation practices has led to focus on ecologically sound, viable and sustainable farming systems.

Minimizing use of chemical fertilizers in fruit growing is a goal of integrated fruit production [6,7]. Recently, environmental aspects of plant nutrient application have received much interest. The organic manures, when applied to soil increases the fertility status of soil and favourably influence the crop yield for several years. It has been reported that [farm yard manure \(FYM\)](#), vermicompost and poultry manure have increased growth, yield and quality in different crops [8, 9, 109]. Thus it has been realised that use of chemical fertilisers must be integrated through more economic and eco-friendly organic manures in order to achieve the substantial productivity with minimum deleterious effect of chemical fertilisers on soil health and environment. One such alternative

horticulture system, which will help to overcome the problem of soil degradation and declining soil fertility and crop yield, is integrated nutrient management (INM). The target of this investigation was to study the influence of combined application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures on four walnut selections.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experimental orchard is located at Ambri Apple Research Station Pahnnoo Shopian. This experimental farm is located at 33.72°N latitude and 74.83° E longitudes, at an elevation of 2057 m above msl, representing high hill zone of the state. The climate of the area is typically temperate. Before application of manures and chemical fertilisers a composite soil sample of the experimental orchard was drawn and analysed for different chemical properties and detailed information is presented in Table 1. The studies were conducted on 9 years old four bearing selections {SKAU/002 (S₁), SKAU/008 (S₂), SKAU/024 (S₃), SKAU/040 (S₄), of walnut grafted on seedling rootstock. For the conduct of experiment, trees with uniform age and vigour, placed at 6m×6 m were selected. The treatments were laid out in Randomised block design (Factorial), containing five replications of three tree each. The details of treatment are T₁ (NPK recommended as per package of practices through inorganic fertilizers), T₂ {100 % through manure (FYM 50% + vermicompost 25% + poultry manure 25%)}, T₃ {75% NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through manure (FYM)}, T₄ (75 % NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through manure (vermicompost), T₅ (75 % NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through manure (poultry manure) and T₆ (75 % NPK through inorganic fertilizers + 25 % through manure (1/3 FYM+ 1/3 Vermicompost +1/3poultry manure). The recommended dose during first year is 200g N, 50g P and 200g K and during second year 250g N, 60g P and 250g K using urea, DAP and MOP as inorganic fertilizer source. There were 24 treatment combinations. Farm yard manure, vermicompost; poultry manure and inorganic fertilizer were applied to each replication as per the treatment details. All fertilizers and manures were applied in the first week of December beneath the tree canopy and mixed well with soil. Chemical composition of organic fertilizers used for the experiment is given in Table 2.

The height of a tree was measured with the help of a graduated tape from the bottom to the top of the tree at the beginning and

65 at the end of growing season and expressed as per cent increment. The trunk girth of each experimental plant was recorded 10 cm
66 above the graft union at the beginning and at the end of growing season with the help measuring tape and expressed as per cent
67 increment in trunk girth in per cent. Volume of tree canopy was calculated by using the formula given by Westwood [11] and
68 expressed in m³.

69 a) For a tree that is taller than its width

$$\text{Volume} = \frac{4}{3} \pi ab^2$$

70

71 b) For a tree that is wider than its height

$$\text{Volume} = \frac{4}{3} \pi a^2b$$

72 Where $\pi = 3.14159$

73 a= one half of major axis

74 b= one half of minor axis

75

76 Annual Shoot extension growth was measured by taking ten shoots from the current season's growth randomly selected all over the
77 periphery of each experimental tree and their length was measured with measuring tape at the end of growing season and expressed in
78 meters. Fruit set on four marked branches was calculated as per the method suggested by Westwood [11].

79

$$\text{Fruit set (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits set}}{\text{Number of flowers}} \times 100$$

80 The total number of fruits retained on the tagged branches was counted at the time of harvest and the percentage of fruit retained was
81 calculated on the basis of total number of fruits at the time of fruit set.

$$\text{Fruit retention (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits harvested}}{\text{Number of fruits at set}} \times 100$$

82 The yield observations were recorded at time of harvesting of nuts from all the experimental trees. After harvesting, the nuts from
83 each tree were dehulled, dried, weighed and expressed in Kg per tree. Yield efficiency of each experimental tree was calculated and
84 expressed in kg cm⁻² by using the formula:

$$\text{Yield efficiency} = \frac{\text{Yield (kg)}}{\text{Tree trunk cross sectional area (cm}^2\text{)}}$$

85 Statistical analyses were conducted using the SAS and means were compared by critical difference (C.D) at 0.05.

86 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

87 Supply of all the essential plant nutrients in sufficient quantity and appropriate proportion is one of the major factor controlling the
88 yield and quality of fruit plants. Production of fruit crops has undergone enormous change due to continuous use of inorganic fertilizer
89 over a long period causing serious damage to soil fertility, environment and health. Sustainability in horticulture with respect to
90 maintenance of soil fertility and stabilized fruit production is the main concern in the present situation. Good soil environment is the
91 precursor to high crop productivity. Hence, there is a need to think of alternate source of safe fertilizers which may enhance crop yield
92 without having adverse effects on soil properties. In the recent past, there has been a resurgence of interest in environment friendly
93 sustainable and organic agricultural practices [12]. The high nutrient requirement of fruit crops can be met through an integrated use
94 of organic manures and chemical fertilizer since organic manures in INM generally improve the physical, chemical and biological

properties of the soil along with its moisture holdings capacity which results in enhanced crop productivity and the quality of crop produced. The results obtained are discussed under appropriate headings:

Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth parameters

Organic manures in combination with inorganic chemical fertilizers significantly increased tree height. Maximum height increment was attained by the walnut trees fertilised with recommended dose (15.92 cm) followed by T₄ (14.35 cm) and minimum with T₃ in 2011. However, during 2012, highest increment in tree height (17.82 cm) was observed with treatment T₄ followed by T₂ (16.85 cm). Recommended dose of fertilizer alone recorded significantly higher value for growth parameters during first year. It could be attributed to the quick and readily availability of major nutrients like NPK to plants at earlier stages of growth, while organic manure recorded significantly lower value for growth parameter because of slow release of nutrients to the plants. The increase in tree height with combination of NPK and vermicompost might be due to increased uptake of nutrients, availability of ideal soil moisture as well as increased growth factors in the root zone during 2012. Marimuthu *et al.* [13] have also reported an increase in tree height due to the application of organic manure alongwith chemical fertilizers in coconut. The importance of vermicompost in improving growth of fruit crops is well established by many workers [14, 15]. The increase in vegetative parameters could be attributed to the higher amount of nutrients as well as some growth stimulating substances exerted by earthworm in their castes.

The present investigations revealed that application of different fertilizer combinations influenced the canopy volume significantly. Maximum tree canopy volume was observed in treatment T₄ followed by T₁, whereas least tree canopy volume was recorded in treatment T₂. The significant improvement in plant growth parameters on account of vermicompost application alongwith inorganic source of NPK might be attributed due to the translocation of nutrients from soil which enhanced supply of macro and micro-nutrient during entire growth season and microbial decomposition. Similar findings have also been reported by Mishra *et al.* [16] who found increased plant spread in ber orchard with combined application of vermicompost with inorganic fertilizer. The low response of organic manure might be due to slow release of nutrients to the plants. These findings are supported by Rainia *et al.* [17] who recorded maximum tree canopy volume in apple with application of 75% NPK + enriched manure.

117 Annual extension growth of walnut plants differed significantly with different treatments. Maximum annual shoot length was
118 found in treatment T₄ (0.81 m) followed by treatment T₁ and T₅ while minimum annual shoot extension growth was observed in
119 treatment T₂. Better growth in combined application may be due to improvement in soil microbial activity, leading to higher N-
120 fixation and phosphate mobilization. This was supported by the findings of Korwar *et al.* [18]. This increased nutrient availability and
121 uptake might have helped in better growth of walnut trees.

122 **Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield parameters**

123 The present investigation revealed that fruit set was not affected significantly by different treatments. However, maximum fruit
124 set was found in treatment T₄ (40.52%) followed by T₅ (38.35%) and T₁ (37.24%), whereas minimum was found in treatment T₂
125 (33.81%). Integrated nutrient management had profound influence on fruit set which may be due to favourable effect of INM in
126 extracting the various micro-nutrients from soil by the crop which play critical role in increasing longevity of ovule and fast growth of
127 pollen tube. Mitra *et al.* [19] found maximum fruit set in Guava trees receiving combined application of organic and inorganic
128 fertilizers. Different selections vary significantly with regard to fruit set. S₁ recorded highest fruit set (38.96%) followed by S₃, S₂ and
129 S₄. The difference in fruit set among different selections might be due to different genetic make up.

130 Present findings indicate that fruit retention was markedly improved by integrated application of fertilizer during both years.
131 The highest fruit retention was observed in treatment T₄ (58.21%) followed by T₅ (57.56%) and T₁ (56.15%). The positive effect of
132 combined application of inorganic and organic source of nutrients on fruit retention might be due to sufficient availability and uptake
133 of NPK in experimental field and balanced nutrition of crops with good photosynthesis. The better efficiency of organic manures in
134 combination with inorganic fertilizers might be due to the fact that the organic manures would have provided the micro-nutrients such
135 as zinc, iron, copper, manganese etc. in an optimum range. The results also agree with Mishra *et al.* ([16] who reported that combined
136 application of organic and inorganic fertilizers had minimum percentage of fruit drop in ber.

There were significant differences in fruit retention among different selections. Selection S₁ recorded highest fruit retention (57.53%) followed by S₂ (56.57%), S₃ (54.20%) and S₄ (52.90%) which might be due to different genetic constitution of the selections. However, there were no significant interactions among selections with their response to fertilizer application.

The study revealed that fertilizer treatment had non-significant effect on yield during first year of study; however yield differed significantly during second year of study. Highest fruit yield was found in treatment T₄ (5.87 kg/tree) followed by T₅ (5.30 kg/tree) and T₆ (4.92 kg/tree). The higher yield with different combinations of organic and inorganic sources might be attributed to sustained release and uptake of major as well as minor elements which is evident from higher accumulation of nutrient elements in walnut leaves. Increase in yield might be on account of production of phytohormone like substances and increased uptake of micronutrients [20]. The prolonged availability of nutrients during crop growth period from vermicompost might have enhanced plant growth and yield attributes [21]. Vermicompost also serve as base for establishment and multiplication of beneficial symbiotic microbes which help in fixing nitrogen in soil besides enhancing the availability of phosphate and nitrogen and uptake of phosphate by plants [22]. Different workers have reported that yield increment with organic manures is due to improvement in soil aeration, better moisture storage and increased nutrient availability and uptake by plants [23,24]. The present findings are in agreement with those of Hebbara *et al.* [25] and Korwar *et al.* [18]. Among selections maximum yield was observed in S₂ (5.53 kg/tree) followed by S₁ (5.11 kg/tree) and S₃ (4.96 kg/tree). The maximum yield in selection S₂ might be due to genetic constitution of selection.

Results revealed that the walnut trees fertilized with different treatments varied significantly during second year and yield efficiency was highest in treatment T₄ (0.07 kg/cm²) followed by T₅ (0.06 kg/cm²) and T₁ (0.04 kg/cm²). The higher yield efficiency in combination treatment might be due to balanced supply of nutrients which maintain balance between vegetative and reproductive growth of plants. Selections do not differ significantly with regard to yield efficiency. Highest yield efficiency was found in selection S₁ and S₂ (0.05 kg/cm²) followed by S₃ (0.04 kg/cm²), which may be due to different yielding behaviour of selections.

CONCLUSION

158 Thus it may concluded that conjoint application of organic and inorganic fertilizers showed substantial improvement in
 159 vegetative and yield parameters. Application of 75% RDF through inorganic coupled with 25% vermicompost was the best treatment
 160 for optimum growth and yield. Among the selections, S₂ showed better performance with respect to yield and quality followed by
 161 selection S₁ in walnut under Kashmir conditions.

162 **Table 1: Chemical properties of experimental orchard soil before start of experiment.**

163

	Organic	Available N	Available	Available K	Exchangeable	Exchangeable	Fe	Zn	Cu	Mn
pH	carbon (%)	(kg/ha)	P (kg/ha)	(kg/ha)	Ca (ppm)	Mg (ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)
6.82	1.15	308	17.5	230	1197.45	160.23	48.9	0.98	2.58	64.2

164 **Table 2: Chemical composition of organic fertilizers used for the experiment**

Parameter	FYM	Vermicompost	Poultry manure
N (%)	0.68	2.48	2.97
P (%)	0.32	0.89	0.95
K (%)	0.73	1.67	1.19

Ca (%)	0.72	0.82	1.84
Mg (%)	0.18	0.17	0.41
Fe (ppm)	144.20	162.15	202.81
Mn (ppm)	62.24	71.50	52.50
Zn (ppm)	15.30	22.00	18.00
Cu (ppm)	2.4	3.72	2.93
Organic carbon (%)	10.22	17.85	14.55

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172 Table-3. Effect of inorganic and organic fertilisers on tree height, girth and canopy volume of four walnut selections

Selections	Treatments	Increment in tree height (%)			Increment of girth (%)			tree canopy volume in walnut (m ³)		
		2011	2012	Avg.	2011	2012	Avg.	2011	2012	Avg.
S ₁	T ₁	15.82	16.42	16.12	0.90	1.50	1.20	36.62	38.36	37.49
	T ₂	9.00	17.33	13.17	0.60	1.40	1.00	32.04	33.74	32.89
	T ₃	9.65	15.35	12.50	0.70	1.20	0.90	34.97	35.81	35.39
	T ₄	14.36	17.66	16.01	1.30	1.40	1.30	38.87	39.44	39.16
	T ₅	13.77	16.13	14.95	0.80	1.20	1.00	35.71	36.71	36.21
	T ₆	10.54	15.24	12.89	0.70	1.00	0.80	31.44	32.71	32.08
	Average	12.20	16.40	14.30	0.83	1.28	1.03	34.94	36.13	35.54
	T ₁	16.40	16.40	16.40	0.89	1.50	1.20	36.40	37.90	37.15
	T ₂	10.57	16.82	13.69	0.64	1.40	1.00	31.77	32.81	32.29
	T ₃	10.54	15.39	12.97	0.75	1.30	1.00	33.10	33.13	33.12

S ₂	T ₄	14.52	17.20	15.86	1.30	1.30	1.30	36.91	37.38	37.14
	T ₅	14.35	16.07	15.21	0.81	1.40	1.10	33.93	35.00	34.47
	T ₆	11.28	15.02	13.15	0.76	1.20	1.00	30.71	31.65	31.18
	Average	12.90	16.20	14.50	0.86	1.35	1.10	33.80	34.64	34.22
S ₃	T ₁	15.93	16.20	16.07	1.00	1.90	1.40	34.28	36.12	35.20
	T ₂	10.05	16.52	13.28	0.70	1.70	1.20	33.97	35.63	34.80
	T ₃	9.93	15.75	12.84	0.80	1.40	1.10	32.10	33.00	32.55
	T ₄	14.47	17.34	15.90	1.60	1.60	1.60	38.32	38.72	38.52
	T ₅	13.81	16.51	15.16	0.70	1.30	1.00	32.30	33.00	32.65
	T ₆	10.87	15.46	13.17	0.70	1.40	1.00	31.13	32.10	31.62
	Average	12.50	16.30	14.40	0.92	1.55	1.22	33.68	34.76	34.22
	T ₁	15.52	15.92	15.72	0.90	1.70	1.30	36.90	39.10	38.00
	T ₂	10.67	16.73	13.70	0.70	1.70	1.20	32.69	34.42	33.56

S ₄	T ₃	9.82	15.41	12.62	0.70	1.40	1.10	35.57	36.30	35.93
	T ₄	14.04	19.07	16.56	1.10	1.60	1.40	36.18	37.00	36.59
	T ₅	13.79	16.21	15.00	0.80	1.50	1.10	35.04	36.54	35.79
	T ₆	10.73	15.71	13.22	0.70	1.40	1.10	30.10	30.80	30.45
	Average	12.40	16.50	14.50	0.82	1.55	1.20	34.41	35.69	35.05
General Avg.		12.50	16.35	14.43	0.86	1.43	1.14	34.21	35.31	34.76
Average of fertiliser + manure treatment	T ₁	15.92	16.24	16.08	0.92	1.65	1.28	36.05	37.87	36.96
	T ₂	10.07	16.85	13.46	0.66	1.55	1.10	32.62	34.15	33.38
	T ₃	9.99	15.48	12.73	0.74	1.33	1.03	33.94	34.56	34.25
	T ₄	14.35	17.82	16.12	1.33	1.48	1.40	37.57	38.14	37.85
	T ₅	13.93	16.23	15.08	0.78	1.35	1.05	34.25	35.31	34.78
	T ₆	10.86	15.36	13.11	0.72	1.25	0.98	30.85	31.82	31.33
C.D. at 5%										

Selection (S)		N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	NS	NS	NS
Treatmen ts (T)		1.98	2.12	2.01	N.S	N.S	N.S	1.83	2.25	2.08
S× T		N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	NS	NS	NS

173

174 Table-4. Effect of inorganic and organic fertilisers on annual shoot growth, fruit set and fruit retention of four walnut

175 selections

Selectio ns	Treatmen ts	Annual shoot extension growth (m)			Fruit set (%)			Fruit retention (%)		
		2011	2012	Avg.	2011	2012	Avg.	2011	2012	Avg.
S ₁	T ₁	0.78	0.80	0.79	38.33	41.74	40.04	57.93	60.12	59.03
	T ₂	0.33	0.96	0.65	27.08	37.89	32.49	50.93	57.27	54.1
	T ₃	0.52	0.78	0.65	31.67	40.53	36.1	56.67	60.20	58.43
	T ₄	0.85	0.97	0.91	45.67	45.72	45.69	62.33	57.92	60.13

	T ₅	0.76	0.88	0.82	41.59	40.07	40.83	58.00	61.47	59.73
	T ₆	0.71	0.79	0.75	35.96	41.24	38.6	46.30	61.16	53.73
	Average	0.66	0.86	0.76	36.72	41.2	38.96	55.36	59.69	57.53
S ₂	T ₁	0.84	0.84	0.84	32.00	39.16	35.58	52.46	59.73	56.10
	T ₂	0.43	0.92	0.68	26.39	38.19	32.29	52.33	59.04	55.69
	T ₃	0.65	0.87	0.76	35.34	40.89	38.11	54.78	59.88	57.33
	T ₄	0.53	0.96	0.75	37.33	43.44	40.39	59.2	59.2	59.2
	T ₅	0.67	0.79	0.73	38.18	38.18	38.18	56.67	62.74	59.71
	T ₆	0.63	0.80	0.71	29.67	40.5	35.08	42.33	60.42	51.38
	Average	0.62	0.86	0.74	33.15	40.06	36.61	52.96	60.17	56.57
	T ₁	0.73	0.74	0.74	35.63	40.55	38.09	54.58	54.71	54.65
	T ₂	0.43	0.79	0.61	34.74	39.78	37.26	50.00	54.75	52.37
	T ₃	0.58	0.70	0.64	35.95	40.89	38.42	53.81	54.82	54.31

S ₃	T ₄	0.67	0.90	0.78	34.33	42.09	38.21	61.00	54.07	57.53
	T ₅	0.66	0.81	0.74	37.33	37.97	37.65	55.33	55.84	55.59
	T ₆	0.63	0.75	0.69	33.67	41.09	37.38	46.63	54.85	50.74
	Average	0.62	0.78	0.70	35.28	40.4	37.84	53.56	54.84	54.2
S ₄	T ₁	0.75	0.76	0.75	32.13	38.36	35.24	54.83	54.80	54.83
	T ₂	0.64	0.84	0.74	28.06	38.33	33.19	50.48	50.44	50.48
	T ₃	0.53	0.70	0.61	32.33	39.15	35.74	52	51.89	52
	T ₄	0.73	0.88	0.81	34.34	41.23	37.79	58.41	58.39	55.97
	T ₅	0.64	0.75	0.70	34.67	38.83	36.75	55.21	55.24	55.21
	T ₆	0.63	0.78	0.71	27.67	39.52	33.6	43.53	43.38	48.93
	Average	0.65	0.78	0.72	31.53	39.24	35.39	52.41	52.41	52.9
General Avg.		0.64	0.82	0.73	34.17	40.23	37.20	53.57	56.78	55.30
Average	T ₁	0.78	0.79	0.78	34.52	39.95	37.24	54.95	57.35	56.15

of fertiliser + manure treatmen t	T ₂	0.46	0.88	0.67	29.07	38.55	33.81	50.94	55.39	53.16
	T ₃	0.57	0.76	0.67	33.82	40.37	37.09	54.32	56.73	55.52
	T ₄	0.70	0.93	0.81	37.92	43.12	40.52	60.24	57.4	58.21
	T ₅	0.68	0.81	0.75	37.94	38.76	38.35	56.30	58.82	57.56
	T ₆	0.65	0.78	0.71	31.74	40.59	36.16	44.70	54.99	51.19
C.D. at 5%										
Selection (S)		NS	NS	NS	0.55	0.23	0.37	0.63	0.40	0.31
Treatments (T)		0.11	0.12	0.09	NS	0.28	NS	2.44	1.22	1.15
S × T		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

176

177

178

179

180 Table-5. Effect of inorganic and organic fertilisers on yield, yield efficiency and nut length of four walnut selections

Selections	Treatments	Yield per tree (kg)			Yield efficiency (kg/cm ²)		
		2011	2012	Avg.	2011	2012	Avg.
S ₁	T ₁	4.7	5.07	4.89	0.06	0.06	0.06
	T ₂	4.1	5.63	4.87	0.01	0.02	0.02
	T ₃	4.5	5.25	4.88	0.05	0.02	0.03
	T ₄	6.0	6.0	6.00	0.08	0.06	0.07
	T ₅	5.1	5.27	5.19	0.07	0.07	0.07
	T ₆	4.2	5.5	4.85	0.02	0.05	0.04
	Average	4.77	5.45	5.11	0.05	0.05	0.05
	T ₁	4.4	5.82	5.11	0.04	0.04	0.04
	T ₂	4.0	6.38	5.19	0.03	0.03	0.03
	T ₃	4.5	6.15	5.33	0.04	0.03	0.04

S ₂	T ₄	6.6	7.03	6.82	0.09	0.08	0.08
	T ₅	5.2	6.38	5.79	0.05	0.06	0.06
	T ₆	4.0	5.93	4.97	0.03	0.04	0.03
	Average	4.78	6.28	5.53	0.05	0.05	0.05
S ₃	T ₁	4.7	4.5	4.60	0.06	0.03	0.04
	T ₂	4.0	5.17	4.59	0.01	0.02	0.02
	T ₃	4.4	5.48	4.94	0.04	0.05	0.04
	T ₄	4.9	5.92	5.41	0.06	0.07	0.06
	T ₅	5.0	5.05	5.03	0.05	0.05	0.05
	T ₆	4.9	5.52	5.21	0.03	0.03	0.03
	Average	4.65	5.27	4.96	0.04	0.04	0.04
	T ₁	4.6	3.83	4.22	0.04	0.01	0.03
	T ₂	3.9	4.5	4.20	0.02	0.02	0.02

S ₄	T ₃	4.1	4.38	4.24	0.02	0.03	0.03
	T ₄	5.0	5.53	5.27	0.05	0.06	0.05
	T ₅	5.1	5.26	5.18	0.05	0.05	0.05
	T ₆	4.1	5.18	4.64	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Average	4.47	4.78	4.62	0.04	0.03	0.03
General Avg.		4.67	5.45	5.06	0.05	0.04	0.04
Average of fertiliser + manure treatmen t	T ₁	4.60	4.81	4.70	0.05	0.04	0.04
	T ₂	4.00	5.42	4.71	0.02	0.02	0.02
	T ₃	4.38	5.32	4.85	0.04	0.03	0.03
	T ₄	5.63	6.12	5.87	0.07	0.07	0.07
	T ₅	5.10	5.49	5.30	0.06	0.06	0.06
	T ₆	4.30	5.53	4.92	0.03	0.04	0.03
C.D. at 5%							

Selectio n (S)		0.15	0.62	0.32	NS	NS	NS
Treatme nts (T)		NS	0.89	0.36	NS	0.02	NS
S× T		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

References

- [1]Anonymous, 2014. Area and Production Statement Department of Horticulture. Jammu and Kashmir Government.
- [2]Shi, A., Liu, K, Wang, H. and Liu, Y. 2001. Effect of house hold waste and animal manure compost on growth of Chinese chestnut and soil.*Journal of Beijing Agricultural College* **16**(4) : 46-51.
- [3]Zhang, H., Pan, T. and Zhangm F. 2004a. Effect of base fertilizer applied in different periods on growth and yield of grape. *Tianjin Agricultural Science* **10**(4) : 43-44.
- [4]Zhang, Z., Xi, R., Gao, Y. And Wang, H. 2004b. Studies on the indexes of tree structure and its regulating in young precocious walnut. *Journal of Agricultural University of Hebei* **27**(4) : 30-31.
- [5]Ponder, F.Jr. and Van Sambeek, J.W. 1997. Walnut fertilisation and recommendations for wood and nut production.**In** :

- 192 *Proceedings of the fifth black walnut symposium*, Stockton, Missouri, USA, 28-31 July 1996. General technical report north
193 central forest experiment station, USDA.Forest service. 191: 128-137.
- 194 [6]Reganold, J.P., D. Glover, P. K. Andrews and H. R. Hinmam. 2001. Sustainability of three apple production systems. *Nature* 410:
195 926-929.
- 196 [7]Forge, T. A., E. Hogur, G. Neilsen, and D. Neilsen, 2002. Effect of organic mulch on soil microfauna in root zone of apple:
197 Implications for nutrient fluxes and functional diversity of the soil food web. *Applied Soil Ecology* 22: 39-54.
- 198 [8]Ingle, H.V., Athawale, R.B. and Ingle, S.H. 2003.Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield and quality of Nagpur
199 Mandarin.*Orissa Journal of Horticulture* 32(1) : 10-13.
- 200 [9]Ram, A.A. and Rajput, M.S. 2002. Role of biofertiliser and manures in production of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad
201 safeda. *Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science* 29(3-4): 193-194.
- 202 [10]Arancon, N.Q., Edwards, C.A., Bierman, P., Metzger, J.B., Lee, s., Welch, C.O., Morgan, A.J., Blackshaw, R.P., Butt, K.R.,
203 Freaderickson, J., Morgan, J.E., Pierce, J.G., and Weeks, J.M. 2003. Effect of vermicompost on growth and marketable fruits
204 of field grown tomatoes, peppers and strawberries. *Pedobiologia*. 47 (5-6): 731-735.
- 205 [11]Westwood, M.N. 1993. Temperate Zone Pomology. W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, USA.
- 206 [12]Esitken, A., Ercisli, S., Karlidag, H. And Sahim, F. 2005. Potential use of plant promoting rhizobacteria in organic apricot
207 production. **In** : *Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference on Environment Friendly Fruit Growing*, Tartu
208 Estonia, September 7-9, pp 90-97.

- 209 [13]Marimuthu, R., Athmanathan, U., Mohandas, S. and Mohan, S. 2001. Integrated nutrient management for coconut. *South Indian*
210 *Horticulture* **49**(2) : 145-148.
- 211 [14] Kukkoner, S. and Vesalo, S. 2002. Indications of soil degradation in strawbeery field, disappearance of earthworms. DAS Report,
212 *Plant Production* **30** : 99-108.
- 213 [15]Sabarad, A.I., Swamy, G.S.K., Patil, C.P., Patil, P.B. and Athani, S.I. 2004. Influence of VAM, vermicompost and trichoderma
214 haarzianum on growth of banana cv. Rajapuri (Musa AAB). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **17**(3) : 515-518.
- 215 [16]Mishra, S., Choudhary, M.R., Yadav, B.L. and Singh, S.P. 2011. Studies on response of integrated nutrient management on
216 growth yield of ber. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **68**(3) : 318-321.
- 217 [17]Raina, J.N., Kumar, A. and Suman, S. 2011. Effect of conjoint application of organic manure and chemical fertilizer on soil
218 nutrient status and productivity of apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.). *Progressive Horticulture* **43**(2) : 259-263.
- 219 [18]Korwar, G.R., Pratibha, G., Ravi, V. and Palanikumar, D. 2006. Influence of organic and inorganic on growth, yield of aonla
220 (*Emblica officinalis*) and soil quality in semi arid tropics. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **76**(8) : 457-461.
- 221 [19]Mitra, S.K., Gurling, M.R. and Pathak, P.K. 2009. Integrated nutrient management in high density guava orchards. Proc. IInd IS
222 on guava and other myrtacral. (Eds. W. Rohde and G. Fermin). *Acta Hort.* 849.
- 223 [20]Govindan, M. and Purushothanman, D. 1984. Production of pnhytohormones by the nitrogen fixing bacterium and azospirillum.
224 *Agricultural Research Journal of Kerala* **22** : 133-138.
- 225 [21]Rajkhowa D.J., Gogoi A.K., Kandali R. and Rajkhpwa K.M. 2000. Effect of vermicompost on green gram nutrition. *Journal of*
226 *Indian society of Soil Scienc* **48**(1): 207-208.

- 227 [22]Sinha, P.S., Nagina, Ram, Singh, B.D., Griyaghey, U.P., Saratchandra, B. and Sinha, B.R.R.P. 2005. Studies on vermiculture
228 technique and efficacy of vermicompost in substituting NPK and FYM requirements of *Morus alba* L. *Indian Journal of*
229 *Agricultural Research* **39**(4) : 235-241.
- 230 [23]Shivaputra, S.S., Patil, C.P., Swamy, G.S.K., Hanamashatti, S.I. and Patil, P.B. 2004. Influence of VAM fungi and vermiculture
231 on yield and yield attributes of papaya cv. Sunset Solo. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* **17**(3) : 519-521.
- 232 [23]Dutta, P., Kundu, S. and Biswas, S. 2010. Integrated nutrient management in litchi cv. Bombai in new alluvial zone of West
233 Bengal. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **67**(2) : 181-184.
- 234 [25]Habbara, M., Ganiger, V.M., Reddy, B.G.M. and Joshi, V.R. 2006. Integrated nutrient management in Sapota (*Manikara zapota*)
235 using vermicompost to increase yield and quality. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **76**(10) : 587-590.
- 236
- 237