SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_24455**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Some Okra Production Decisions and Farmers' Awareness of Meloidogyne species Infection in two Agro-ecologies of Ghana**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The following are the comments that need to be addressed: **Line 26**: NARP, 1993 – not included in the reference list. **Line 39**: Adu et al (1992) – not included in reference list. **Line 57, 58, 127 and table 2:** This symbol <60 or >60 - Authors should be consistent with the table. **Line 62**: Most farmers….. – Please refer us to the relevant table. **Line 69**: Farmers' experience – this is not on table 2 but 3. **Line 69**: Majority of the farmers – please refer us to the relevant table. **Line 118**: Management – spelling error **Line 148**: Insurance? – spelling error? **Discussions**: More information can be added. The purpose of this part is to compare current results with what has been reported before. In the current manuscript there is no comparison. **Conclusions**: How does this unawareness affect yields?	
Optional/General comments	The paper can be accepted as a short communication after minor revision. The data was not analysed statistically and there is not enough scientific basis.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Motiki Meshack Mofokeng**
Department, University & Country	**Agricultural Research Council, Ornamental Plant Institute (ARC-VOPI), South Africa**