



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_23259
Title of the Manuscript:	Production Protocol for Healthy Larviculture of Meagre (<i>Argyrosomus regius</i> Asso1801)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Dear Editor, This is a very good article and should be accept after minor revision. Title should be changed for "Comparation of diets used for larviculture of Meagre (<i>Argyrosomus regius</i>)" In line 21 change alternative to other fish for candidate fish species (see Moroni et al., 2015) In Line 22 insert "stage of cold water fish marine production" after "important" In line 36 the objective should stop after rearing meagre. Yours results don't support the others objectives proposed. In introduction should be insert a short biology description of the magre, alga, <i>Artemia salina</i> and rotifers. What you consider a good fish larvae diet? Please define in introduction. In line 40 insert the number of the individuals used at this experiment.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	In line 41 insert maps to describe the localization. In line 43 insert the number of the individuals used at each group. In line 48 insert the topic named Meagre larvae production. Insert the reference that describe the method of fish larvae production used. In line 51 don't use "we" In lines, 53 and 87, organize the numbers value first the low and second the greater, and review all the text. In line 60 insert the number of the individuals used at each group. In line 71 insert the number of the larva used at each group. In line 75 describe the origin of the Later, Rotifera and Artemia saline used. Insert reference of statistic used. In line 84 delete Table 2 shows and insert at the end of phrase (table do not show. You show. Table represent.) Table 2 should represent the data of commercial diet and A1, A2, A3, separately. Figure 4 is not complete and informative in itself. Please represent this data as a table, using one column to water	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	parameters, another for photoperiod, larval stage. Please delete Figure 1 and 2. They not contribute to understand the text. In line 125 you can discuss the possibility that the hormone used are not suitable for this specie. New forms of treatment or hormone purification from the hypophyses of this fish could be a good next step. I believe that the conclusion should be the answer of yours main objective: What diet was more effective for meagre larva production (commercial or the alternatives A1, A2, A3?). Please rewrite the conclusion. Congratulations for the authors and good luck!	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fabio Tonissi Moroni
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil