SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_23136**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Varieties and nitrogen rates on grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency of highland maize in Toke Kutaye, western Ethiopia**
Type of the Article	**Original Research article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1/ About the effect of season, it's not clear (from table 1 to 6) if : - the study concerns two agronomic seasons (2013 and 2014) and the tables show the average of the two seasons or - for farms 1 and 2 the study focused on 2013 and 2014 for farms 3 and 4. It would be interesting to show the seasonal effect a part. 2/ For the clarity of the text is it possible to indicate, for all tables, the average by variety and farm like in Table 7 with data from statistical analyze? 3/ Line 417: Table 7 does not indicate data about "the effect of cropping Season".	
Minor REVISION comments	*Line 7 : remove "is" * Line 17 : what is 2980% (Significantly Higher nitrogen fertilizer use efficiency 29806%). * Line 41: remove "per capitata" * Table 2 : it is better to replace control 1 and control 2 by Wenchi and Horra. * Line 274 : what is Table 2.1.8? * Line 418 : replace 339 by 399 .	
Optional/General comments	The study is very important and the text is very interesting and very rich. The manuscript is well written, well presented and well documented.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bergaoui Ridha**
Department, University & Country	**Department of animal production, National Institute of Agronomy, Tunisia**