



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22138
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Resistance of Three Tomato Varieties to Bacterial Wilt and Stem Rot
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work has the character of original research article.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract should be revised. Abstract can not have headlines as: Aim :, Study design :, Place and duration of study :, Methodology Results :, Conclusion :. I recommend begin the abstract: The aim of research was to compare conditions. In the abstract and keywords and elsewhere, should be used Instead – “bacterial wilt and Erwinia stem rot” - <i>Pseudomonas solanacearum and Erwinia carotovora</i>. (by IPGRI 1996)* Parts of abstract: Study design :, Place and duration of study :, Methodology belong to Chapter 2 - Materials and methods. Part of abstract: The incidence to be high. - Keep in the abstract. Keywords - Omit: Cameroon On line 123 and elsewhere, instead of “one and two watering-can of water” - how many liters per 1 square meter On line 130 should be: Beds of 5 m long and 2 m wide.... On line 174 and 177: In what units it is expressed <i>p</i> and <i>S</i>? On line 174: formula (equation) does not make sense. They were artificially infected? Because the disease does not always appearing. On line 330, you say that: bacterial wilt caused by <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>. In line 166 you write that: bacterial wilt caused by <i>Pseudomonas solanacearum</i> ? on line 327 the pictures have not denouncing ability. Add to the references: • Citation IPGRI. 1996. Descriptors for Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon</i> spp.). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy, 44 pp., ISBN 92-9043-294-2.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Experiments of this kind should be carried out three years, but also accept two years. .Varietal trials should test at least 10 varieties (three is few).	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Magdalena Valsikova
Department, University & Country	Vegetables Production, Slovak University Of Agriculture, Nitra, Slovakia