



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_18087
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical constituents in leaves of 14 breeding lines of cassava (<i>Manihotesculenta</i> Crantz)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revision on writing errors which can be seen in the text and reference section is needed. 2. Check scientific names in the text and reference sections. 3. Include more details and explain all abbreviations of lines including hybrids in Table 1. 4. Some characteristic of cassava breeding lines should be included either in the Introduction section or in the discussion. Are cassava cultivars or lines in this study inbred? Most of cassava cultivars are heterozygote. The authors should explain why F1 hybrids from the same cross produced F1 plants containing different amount/type of phytochemicals. 5. Mean values in Tables 2 and 3 are not necessary. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Preeya P. Wangsomnuk
Department, University & Country	Biology, Khon Kaen University, Thailand