



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28695
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF POTATO VARIETIES UNDER LITCHI BASED AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract Introduction The introduction is OK.	Now, abstract was modified.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract. Methodology. Conclusion. The abstract should be in one paragraph, is confused in this way. Specify what type of soil. Specify the dose of applied fertilizers. The conclusion is not consistent with the objective raised in the investigation. You must delete the discussion of the concluded, and make one conclusion according to the objective.	All are done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Improve, abstract and conclusion	abstract and conclusion are improved.