



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28424
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic studies of agronomic and physiological traits in tomato ((<i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> mill.) Under heat stress conditions
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	▪ Cross reference all of the citations in the text with the references in the reference section using this Link http://www.crossref.org/simpleTextQuery. ▪ Ensure that all references have corresponding citations within the text and vice versa. ▪ Double check the spelling of the author names and dates and ensure they are correct and consistent with the citations. ▪ Reformat all references using <u>AJEA Reference style</u> ▪ Spell out all abbreviations in the text the first time they are mentioned in the text. ▪ Spell out all Journal titles in the reference section (be consistent with this). ▪ Translate any references (if applicable) into English ▪ Ensure that all figures and tables are cited within the text and that they are cited in consecutive order. ▪ Reframe most of your statements-see suggested changes with the Track changes.	• All the references corresponded with all citation within the text and vice versa. The references were reformatted according to AJEA reference style. • All the author names and dates are correct and consistent with citations. • All abbreviations were spell out. • Statements were reframed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	▪ Write full name of Units upon first use (see Track changes) ▪ LINE 25: Replace with '<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> spp L'. ▪ LINE 31: delete 'the'.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	▪ LINE 37: Delete range before temperature and insert it afterwards. ▪ LINE 39: Replace 'of 'after reduction with 'in'. ▪ LINE 51: Replace parameters with 'factor' and served with 'serves'. ▪ LINE 64: Insert ' genotypes' before which. ▪ LINE 72; Insert 'spacing' before of. ▪ LINE 73: delete 'and' after split. ▪ LINE 84; The name of the software is Statistical Analysis System (SAS). ▪ LINE 108: Replace existed with 'existing'. ▪ LINE 117: Replace in with ' on'. ▪ LINE 118: Replace regard with ' with regards'. ▪ LINE 151: Delete 'was existed'. ▪ LINE 165: Insert ' that' before both. ▪ LINE 186: Replace existed with ' existing'. ▪ LINE 187: Delete 'the'. ▪ Reword some of the captions and statements (see Track changes)	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>