



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28260
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic Variation Analysis of Sweetpotato Germplasm Resources from Different Agro-climate Zones
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have made comments using the track changes. The author will find the comments added. The manuscript will require some revision; especially that it is also a short research article.	Response: Accepted. The changes were made in yellow according to the track changes.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author to include the number of materials originating from China and decide on the topic if it remains as it is, or he include China as suggested	Response: The materials used in this study both including indigenous varieties from China and the varieties introduced from oversea, the detailed information of the varieties was given in 2.1 genetic materials.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author can also quickly cross check his English and use the spelling and grammar in word documents to clean up the article.	Response: The spelling and grammar mistakes in this paper were checked and revised. Thank you very much! I greatly appreciate your concerning improvement to this paper.