



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_28153
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF LIME AND NITROGEN RATES ON SOIL PROPERTIES AND NUTRIENT CONTENT OF SUGARCANE – SOYBEAN INTERCROPPED ON ACIDIFIED SOILS OF KIBOS, KISUMU COUNTY IN KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Sentences should not begin with figures or abbreviations e.g. [15] or LPM. Where LSD values are given in the tables there is no need to attach letters. References are not missing inside the text and also in references list but the authors should follow journal format strictly.	Comments acknowledged and have been addressed as shown in the text. I suggest both LSD and also letters be attached for ease of noting how the means separate among each other
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The abstract is very good. It summarizes the entire work from experimental design to findings. The entire work is readable.	Comments acknowledged