



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27826
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological and technological consequences of hormonal management on Butternut squash (Cucurbita moschata) productivity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 46: What does "de novo" mean? Line 59: experiments instead of experimens Line 125: Provide already here the significance of LAR Line 166: "...showed no significant differences..." instead of "...showed no show significant differences..." Line 226: "When we calculated Butternut squash RGR in our experiments,..." instead of "When we calculated Butternut squash RGR was calculated in our experiments,..." Line 253: Check the sentence	Paragraph has been changed OK, it was corrected LAR has been defined OK, it was corrected OK, it was corrected Sentence was checked and partially modified
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		