



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27824
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield of fall-sown cereals in the Kanto region – In the context of multipurpose production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	As per present study, author showed that soil temperature plays an important role in above-ground dry matter yield and fall sown cereals have potential for bio-ethanol production. Abstract is nicely written. Introduction and materials and methods part required re-writing of some parts with more explanations. Results and discussion parts is well written. All the corrections have been highlighted in MS, Kindly correct it.	I believe that we have implemented almost all the suggested corrections by reviewers. Details are written below. Line numbers of the original manuscript are indicated. Introduction Line 23: "i.e." was written in italic. Line 23: The sentence, "limited nitrogen application to this crop meant for noodle-making was lowering the yield" was replaced by the sentence, "limited nitrogen application to this crop of noodle-making usage could be lowering the yield" Line 33?: I regarded that the comment written "gap?" was meant for the word "feedstock". To my understanding "feedstock" (not "feed stock") is the correct word. Line 38: "various cropping systems or system" I intend that there are a number of cropping systems. Line 38?: "explain once" I could not spot the phrase this comment was written for. Materials and Methods Line 49: "content of N, P, K and OC in soil???" The results of soil analysis were added in the text. Line 65: "keep uniformity in writing somewhere you



SDI Review Form 1.6

		provided space in degree Celsius” A space was inserted between the number and degree Celsius throughout the text. Results and Discussion Line 94: “comma” A comma was added before “respectively”. Line 97: There was no comment written in the comment box. Line 152, Line 207, Line 250, Line 272: A comma was added before “respectively”. Others “two-row barley” was corrected to “two-row barley” A space was inserted between number and degree Celsius throughout the text. Line 22, Line 25, Line 133: “t ha⁻¹” was corrected to “Mg ha⁻¹”
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Few corrections like gaps and comma should be corrected. All the corrections have been highlighted in MS, Kindly correct it.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		