



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27689
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity of Lentil (Lens culinaris L.) as influenced by different biofertilizers and phosphorus regimes.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1- Bad English, hard to understand, needs revise 2- Lacking in explanations to the findings 3- Nearly no discussion of the results 4- The importance of the study was not declared? 5- Abstract missing the uniqueness of the study 6- Tables were not supported with explanations The paper is not completed.	All the changes have been done as desired.