



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27689
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity of Lentil (Lens culinaris L.) as influenced by different biofertilizers and phosphorus regimes.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Original research paper must have at least two-year results.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract should not contain abbreviations as: (UI, InRh, InPSB & InRh +PSB). Why is used in the abstract and in lines 80, 88 and 91 the mark @? in line 27: which means "lentil in H. P.? The methodology lacks in which country is: Agronomy Research Farm, Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi 38 Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh. in line44: is correct "December, 2003 ? : In the description of the results should be: whether seed yield increased through seed number or weight of thousand seeds. In the results lack references to the tablets 2 and 3. In methodology should be described how were seeds inoculated with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria cultures before sowing and in what quantities ?.	Changed Changed It means lentil in Himachal Pradesh State of India Done Done Both seed number and seed weight increases the seed yield Changed and corrected Showed the quantity of biofertilizer for seed



SDI Review Form 1.6

	At the conclusion should be: how will the farmers receive Your results?	treatment Through participatory approaches of KVK's and extension department of the university
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The methodology was correct, with low dose of nitrogen, using phosphorus, rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Negatives: References contain mostly old literary sources	 Latest references have been added