



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26796
Title of the Manuscript:	PHENOTYPIC RESPONSES OF ORYZA SPECIES TO SALINE CONDITION AT REPRODUCTIVE GROWTH STAGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The objectives of this manuscript was aimed to evaluating the response and morphological effects of salinity stress to Oryza species at reproductive growth stage. In Introduction Section: -The novelty of the article must be more clear. i.e. the benefit of the research wants to solve??? "Therefore, there is a great deal of urgency for developing 54 rice genotypes which can sustain and set seed under high salt stress condition' Why?????" -The Reference Section include some mistakes must be correct??	This research is aimed at evaluating the response and morphological effects of salinity stress to Oryza species at reproductive growth stage. Why? To enhance productivity
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The methodology is written with good manner, except the name of experimental design and statistical procedure must be clearly. No the plagiarism test is very good it is 88%	Inserted and highlighted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The results and its discussion are good written.	