



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26581
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance, Nutrient intake and Digestibility of Uda sheep with graded levels of <i>Xylopia aethiopica</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Plant extracts are considered to be alternatives to antibiotics and antioxidants. Previous studies showed that may plant extracts have positive effects on growth performance of animals. This paper investigated the effect of Xylopiia aethiopica on growth performance, nutrient intake and digestibility of Uda rams and results showed that the supplementation of Xylopiia aethiopica less than 2.5% had positive effects on performance. The paper was written and organized well.	Its seems that the current format of the manuscript is acceptable to the reviewers Thank you very much for the comment "the paper is well written and well organised"
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		