SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_26581**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Performance, Nutrient intake and Digestibility of Uda sheep with graded levels of Xylopia aethiopica**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript has novelty. It was orderly presented with appropriate design and statistical analysis. It was also well refered.**	Good observation on the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest the author include ; The local name and the authority of the plant Xylopia aethopica in the title. The weight of the seed added in the feed compounded Use other keywords that are not in the title. Reconstruct line number 105.	Local name was included in the title and in the introduction with reference (authority) cited The weight of the seed was also included in all the tables and in the materials and methods Line 105 (data collection) was reconsstructed
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very original and will contribute to the field of study substantially.	Good observation on the manuscript