



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26347
Title of the Manuscript:	Studying the response of kenaf in the cassava-maize based intercrops
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Corrections are made on the following areas: Topic: Modified:- Response of Kenaf to Early and Late Cropping Seasons in Cassava-Maize Based Intercrops Abstract: Line 5 response of kenaf to early and late cropping seasons in relay intercropping system with cassava-maize based intercrop at Ibadan Research Farm of, Obafemi Awolowo University and Moor Plantation Ibadan 2013 and 2014 are too frequent in the abstract, use both cropping seasons in some cases Methods (experimental design and analysis) are necessary in the abstract Abstract last line: to the farmers in the study area. Line 20: industrial purposes Line 49: and to asses the yield response of the component crops to intercropping. Delete assessing the productivity to the end Delete Line 53, 54-55 up to the farmer. Under MATERIALS AND METHODS Begin with A field trials Line 56: kenaf to early and late cropping seasons in relayed..... Line 59: Obafemi Awolowo University and Moor Plantation, Ibadan Line 71-72 University and Moor plantation, Ibadan. Maize variety (DMR-ESR Yellow) and cassava variety (TMS 30572) were used as planting materials for the experiments in both cropping seasons.	The suggested modified topic is relevant and in line with the aims of the field work. So the modified topic is taken by me. All other suggested corrections have been effected in the reviewed article, except that of: Line 71-72 University and Moor plantation, Ibadan. Moor Plantation is a name of street where the research institute is located in Ibadan.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 86: crop combinations, Line 93-94: after planting. Remove where necessary Line 116: Data collected from the growth and yield parameters of component crops were subjected to the analysis of variance..... Line 127: than that under intercropping systems. Line 178: growth resources (light, water and soil nutrients) by Line 188: by cropping systems Line 199: in both experimental years. Line 217: indication that that Delete one Line 224: cropping systems Line 231: growth resources (light, water and soil nutrients) Line 246: crop combinations or two crop combinations Line 258: three crop combinations..... or two crop combinations Line 267: could be used as raw materials for industries. Therefore, high yield quality fiber.....	
Minor REVISION comments	Experimental design and method used for the analysis should be discussed in the abstract for more understanding.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is original. I suggest it should be accepted for publication after effecting all the highlighted corrections.	Thank you for the positive response and suggestion.