



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26347
Title of the Manuscript:	Studying the response of kenaf in the cassava-maize based intercrops
Type of the Article	General agriculture

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are certain spacing and formatting mistakes. 1. Line no. 18 = Kenaf is 2. Line no.55 = farmer, field trials 3. Line no. 109 = Yc are In Table No. 1. Average weight of cob/ plant is reflected in grams. Is the author sure about the measure of weight reflected there.	All the suggested suggestions have been effected in the reviewed article.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research aspect considered for the present paper is good and useful to the farmers of Ibadan. Taking up kenaf/ maize/ cassava intercrop or relay crop or mixed crop is giving economic returns with good LER. This sets a new trend in establishing and strengthening the agro industries and farmers.	Thank you for the positive response and suggestions.