



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26270
Title of the Manuscript:	The Determination of Some Agronomic Properties of Lines Selected Among Domestic Dry Bean Populations Collected From Kelkit Valley and Artvin Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript has character of study, not as Original Research Article. The experiment has not replications in years and variants. The article is written perfectly as a study.	I have made augmented test pattern and varieties have replications single year.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In keywords, line 20 - I recommend instead of "augmented" to use "diversity". It would be useful to make the correlation relationship between plant height and number of pods per plant and number of seeds per plant.	I have made this change in related line. I don't have made correlation and path analysis between traits.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Conclusion should be addressable to make it clear who should benefit from your achievements.	Engineers, farmers, dry bean producers and the agriculture ministry will be benefited. prominent lines will be offered to registration.