



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_26081
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioefficacy of garlic, <i>Allium sativum</i> and tobacco <i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> on mortality, ovipository inhibition and adult emergence of the cowpea beetle <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> (Fab.) on cowpea <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) (Walp.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Well written manuscript. A clear and simple style used makes the manuscript useful to anybody who can read and write. The Author may wish to revisit old citations [more than 15 years] The use of tobacco for storing cowpeas may be tricky considering its effects on humans	Well the experiment involved the use of aqueous extracts not the leaves. Therefore the effects will be minimal on humans
Minor REVISION comments	The Author may wish to enlighten readers why the two botanicals in this research Line 273 there is repetition of “ with insecticidal properties’	It was to compare the two botanicals so that any one that is available to the farmer could be used The repeated words have been deleted.
Optional/General comments		