



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25778
Title of the Manuscript:	VEGETABLE POTENTIAL OF TURKEY
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	Article has a character of the study. It is well and precisely prepared. I recommend publish after the minimum proofreading.	
Minor REVISION comments	On line 58 and 59 should be instead of "da" - "ha"? The manuscript is too long. In chapters are often repeated the same results. I recommend complete the information on the consumption of vegetables per person per year in Turkey and in the regions.	- It was done in lines 54-55 _The manuscript was tried to minimize as much as possible. -We tried to omit these replications. -These information were given in the last part of introduction (lines 77-78- 79).
Optional/General comments	For comparison I indicate that in the Slovak Republic, the consumption of vegetables it is between 94.6 and 206.1 kg per capita per year for the last four years (Valsikova, 2016). (Reference: Magdalena Valsikova, 2016. Quality and postharvest technology of horticultural crops. Publisher: Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra. Garmond Nitra, 153 p.)	We cited it in line 79