



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25620
Title of the Manuscript:	Population dynamics of P. brassicae on different cauliflower genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Language and grammar should be checked by native speaker. There are numerous spelling and formatting errors. Abstract should be revised according to the author guideline. It should contain different sub-sections as "Aims, Study design, Place and Duration of study, Methodology, Results, Conclusion". Methodology of the study should be supported with reference for each part. Discussion part is poor, should be revised.	Done Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In title and abstract, Line 2 and 5, Latin name of pest should be written long name and should include order and family as at first appearance. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. All references style should be revised according to the instruction. Journal names are abbreviated according to the ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations.	Done Done Done Done Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Subheadings should be rearranged among material and methods, results and discussion. The punctuation signals are not compatible with instruction, should be revised. <i>P</i> values should be italicized and capitalized, besides, expressed to 2 digits whether or not it is significant ($P = .01$ or $P = .05$) Tables should be revised according to the format, as well as title and footnote of table. Figure caption should be typed separately, not on the figure area. Acknowledgements and Competing interests should be added to the text. If no, "Authors have declared that no competing interests exist." The punctuation signals are not compatible with instruction. Only recommended SI units should be used.	Done Done Done Done Done Done Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is not sufficiently novel and interesting to warrant publication. Originality is weak, scientific quality and preparation technique is poor. Manuscript is not compatible with the guideline.	