



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25357
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of the climate change on genetic variability of the Pearl Millet [<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> (L.) R. Br.] in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Pearl Millet plant [<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>) is an important crop for various areas of Burkina Faso. Therefore, I consider that research is current and important.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract should contain brief results with important numbers. Abstract should not consist of parts as: Study design, Place and Duration of Study and Methodology. These parts belong to the methodology. Materials and methods are compiled well. But I have reservations about marking in abbreviations of traits characters. Abbreviations are difficult to identify and bring the chaos. For good comprehensibility of results I recommend to replace the abbreviation for word naming of analyzed properties in all tables.	The correction is taken into account while bringing back the parts: Study design and Duration of Study in Methodology part Abbreviations were corrected since the part Materials and methods i.e 2.4 "phenology traits and agro-morphology characters measures " in text and replace in all tables Correction taken into account in Table 4
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Conclusion is good and suitable for article of research type. The results should be used by farmers Farmers in various zones must be submitted of simple conclusions and instructions for use of the obtained results.	