



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_25306
Title of the Manuscript:	Synergistic effects of wood ash and essential oil on fecundity, pupal eclosion and adult mortality of Callosobruchus maculatus (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) cowpea seed weevil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper was prepared very good. No need revision.	Thank you for this appreciative comment. In fact, we spent about a year writing and editing to get the manuscript ready. As the result, this allowed us to iron out most of the structural and grammatical shortcomings and misunderstandings by the way. However, due to the imperfection nature of human beings, we acknowledged the accuracy of the minor revision and have scrupulously applied the reviewer's remarks.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	---	-We took into consideration all the reviewer's comments highlighted in yellow in the original manuscript and brought in all necessary adjustments. -Reference number 42 has been removed as highlighted because it has not been cited in the paper. Interesting remark.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	---	I wish to know from the editor if it's possible to change the order of my name. Instead of Mazarin Akami , I would appreciate that it becomes Akami Mazarin such that while citing the paper, it should be Akami <i>et al</i> ,xxx for example. Thank you in advance for your comprehension.