



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_24950
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation the effect of three tillage implements on potato yield and water use efficiency
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript was not well structured. Apart from the first three paragraphs of the introduction for example, most parts of the other paragraphs of the section (introduction) were too much of literature review and materials required for the discussion section. In addition, results were mixed up in the materials and methods. For instance, Tables 1 and 2 (data on soil characteristics) are supposed to be in the results and discussion section. Furthermore, there were a lot of grammatical errors which for me the author(s) may be pardoned since the origin of the manuscript appear to be a non-English speaking country.	Thank You for the reviewer for his valuable comments. - We made changes with yellow colour in the revised manuscript. - Again, Tables 1 and 2 (data on soil characteristics) are in materials and method section to represent water and soil characteristics. - All changes are with yellow colour in the revised manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		