



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_24950
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation the effect of three tillage implements on potato yield and water use efficiency
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.The manuscript is not carefully prepared. The experiments were conducted very simple. 2.This study is lack of novelty and no new findings are shown in this paper. 3.Subheading, Table and Reference list styles did not completely follow the journal's instruction, please carefully check one by one. 4.There are many typing errors and grammar mistakes in manuscript. Line numbers are not added in the manuscript that I can not showed detail of each error. 5.Introduction part should be reduced. 6.Figure 1, 2 and 3 are not scientific values because they do not support for the texts. 7.The abbreviations in manuscript should write in full at the first time such as ASAE, DAB 8.In the discussion part authors should explain more. 9.Figure 4 and 5 need add statistical values (e. g., error bars, p values) so Table 6 is not necessary.	1.The manuscript is not carefully prepared. The experiments were conducted very simple. Comment: The manuscript is prepared to meet the format of American Journal of Experimental Agriculture. The experiment was simple due to one factor was under study. 2.This study is lack of novelty and no new findings are shown in this paper. Comment: In Saudi Arabia where the experiment was conducted, such study is important for farm machinery management and also for agronomist researches. 3.Subheading, Table and Reference list styles did not completely follow the journal's instruction, please carefully check one by one. Comment: Subheading, Table and Reference list styles are follow the journal's instruction. 4.There are many typing errors and grammar mistakes in manuscript. Line numbers are not added in the manuscript that I can not showed detail of each error. Comment: we made corrections in yellow color. 5.Introduction part should be reduced. Comment: done



SDI Review Form 1.6

		6. Figure 1, 2 and 3 are not scientific values because they do not support for the texts. Comment: We removed these figures from the text. 7. The abbreviations in manuscript should write in full at the first time such as ASAE, DAB. Comment: We cleared the abbreviations (ASAE, DAB) in the text. 8. In the discussion part authors should explain more. Comment: We added some discussion in the text in yellow color. 9. Figure 4 and 5 need add statistical values (e. g., error bars, p values) so Table 6 is not necessary. Comment: We prefer to show the results in Table 6 to show the significant effect of replicates and tillage implements on yield and water use efficiency.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		