



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_24915
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of common bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) varieties for resistance to bean fly (<i>Ophiomyia</i> spp.) in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Susceptible variety GLP1127 is on par with that of the resistant variety GLP858 and GLP1004 with respect to yield. It may be tolerant to bean fly. Tolerant variety is always better than that of the resistant as insect survive without reduction of yield. Why it may not be recommended for cultivation? The conclusion part may be constructed again giving importance to few resistant varieties like Chelalang, Tasha, KK 8, and KK15. and susceptible/tolerance variety like GPL1127	The resistant varieties (Chelalang, Tasha, KK 8, KK 15, GLP 858 and GLP 1004) and tolerant variety (GLP 1127) have high grain yield kg ha ⁻¹ and therefore are beneficial to small holder farmers and hence are recommended for farming purposes.
	Author has done good job for enhancing the yield of common bean by evaluating resistant varieties for bean fly. He has to attempt few corrections as per suggestions given in text itself.	