

Editorial comments:

The authors tried to address reviewers' comments. However, this article still needs improvement. There are many typographical and grammatical errors in this present version. Some of the figures are inappropriate.

e.g. Fig. 1 should be in Table or as bar graph. Pie chart is not appropriate!

In Table 4: both LSD values and CV% is high. Please check and explain.

Table 5: You analysed with 5% LSD. Then why both * and ** significance levels are shown? 5% always indicates *.

L214: The findings are supported by studies conducted elsewhere
[22,28,29,31,32,33,69,76,77,78,79,80,81,82,83,84,85,86,87,88]: Too many references here.

This manuscript need to be checked by an expert both for English language and other technical errors..

Authors' feedback:

1. Fig. 1 has been removed and information moved to Table 2 since it had one column.
2. LSD values are high because Treatment means (T_1) are low. Coefficient of variation (CV %) is higher but within the acceptable range. It is possible that one of the reps varied considerable from the rest.
3. Table 5: Yes we separated our means with 5% LSD, but from ANOVA table both highly ** and significant * levels were revealed for P value.
4. L214: References have been reduced.
5. English language and other technical errors checked and changes are highlighted in yellow colour.