



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_24292
Title of the Manuscript:	Salt-tolerant triticale (X Triticosecale Witt) cultivation in Jordan as a new forage crop
Type of Article	Short communication

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I think sentence which Similarly confirmed results of crude protein digestibilities were observed and were greater for barley silage versus triticale and oat silages in results and discussion section delete. No information about this sentence was given in before the text. There was not results of chemical analysis for barley in table 2. Thus, In Conclusions section, This sentence "However, on the basis of analysis for crude protein, triticale grown in saline soils was less acceptable than barley, and less acceptable than triticale grown under treated waste water" should be rewritten.	Ozl_v1_1: This sentence " Similarly confirmed results of crude protein digestibilities were observed and were greater for barley silage versus triticale and oat silages" was deleted from the last paragraph of (Results and Discussion) as recommended. Ozl_v1_2: This sentence " However, on the basis of analysis for crude protein, triticale grown in saline soils was less acceptable than barley, and less acceptable than triticale grown under treated waste water" was rewritten as the following: "However, on the basis of analysis for crude protein, triticale grown in saline soils was less acceptable than triticale grown under treated waste water" Ozl_v1_2. Specific Note: First line of acknowledgments was added.