SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_24286**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of Foliar Application of Boron (B) on the Grain Set and Yield of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The article provides interesting information, but must be improved to be accepted. In summary put the same goal which is the introduction and the conclusion must also be similar to the one at the end. The introduction can be considered good. The Material and methods should be added some information as if it was used more than one genotype? What is the source of B? Some captions should be united! The results and the statistical increasing discussion should is in every table has table that does not have the test. The conclusion must be redone because it is too long and presents the results again.** **Therefore, sending also the manuscript with more detailed information about the fixes. Where it can be visualized more easily what should be fixed.**	All suggestions have been incorporated in the revised version of the article. Please see track changes corrections.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		