



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_23735
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of pasture vs. drylot flushing on ewe body weight change and number of lambs born
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Unless there is information about, it is necessary to include more recent references . Only five of 36 references are from 2010 to date.	Another search of the current flushing research did not yield any data that is as relevant to our study as the research conducted in the past.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 57. Eliminate space in "and". Line 66. Add "respectively" to the end of sentence. Line 94. Nutritional composition are not in table 1.	These changes/additions have been made in the text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		