



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_23735
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of pasture vs. drylot flushing on ewe body weight change and number of lambs born
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1/ "Smath grazing can reduce inputs" but can it causes more waste and losses of forage than in drylots? 2/ What eat ewes before flushing?	1. In a companion study that evaluated intake, forage wastage, and nutrient composition of a pea/barley forage in a swath grazing verses baled feeding system, forage wastage did not differ between treatments. This study has been referenced in the text. 2. Prior to flushing ewes were grazing native range. This has been added to the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good paper, well presented, complete and clear.	