



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_23361
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Stocking Density and Partitioning of Rearing Period on Growth, Feed Utilization and Production of Common Carp <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> L. Raised in Floating Cages in Tigris River
Type of the Article	Regular

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the site description, are there any literature to support the presence of any aquatic plants in the river? Based upon which literature did you find presence of fish species in the river? Realign and position map of site correctly After your conclusion, what do you recommend? State statistical package used to test significance Consult enough literature to explain your findings	No literature, the aquatic plants were observed and recorded by the authors. They are common in the site. Based on Abbas <i>et al.</i> (2015) Abbas, LM, Abu-Elheni, AJ and Radhy, AG: Fish community of Tigris River before Al-Kut Barrier, Southern Baghdad, Iraq. J. Biol. Chem. & Phys. Sci., 2015; Vol. 5 No 2: 1639-1645. Map was realigned correctly. Recommendations were added after conclusions to use the lowest density for two raising periods. The experiment was conducted using the (CRD) design and general linear models (GLM) procedure of XLSTAT. Pro. 7.5 One way (ANOVA) analysis was adopted to test significance as pointed out by Snedecor & Cochran (1968).



SDI Review Form 1.6

		More recent literatures have been added.
Minor REVISION comments	Font sizes should be the same throughout Growth rates for the various treatment could be projected graphically to see the trend In table 4, cost and revenue column should be placed first	Done It is possible but more graphs would make the manuscript too long. Done
Optional/General comments	Try and consult enough literature to back your claims and justification Production parameters determined should be explained briefly Check spellings e.g. Contrary was not spelt correctly in Results	Yes, Done. They have been explained in the rectified version. All checked