



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_23238
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vitro Evaluation of some Fungicides against Botrydiplodia theobromae: Causal Pathogen of Pineapple Dieback
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should give more experimental validation about identification of fungal pathogens isolated from Pineapple leaves and plants. Provide few microscope pictures of these fungal pathogens as a proof of morphology. Fig 2 is not that much clear , it is difficult to visualize inhibitory effect of fungicides please replace this with proper figure. (Refer Fig 1b)	1. The organisms were viewed under microscope but the photographs were not taken. 2. Fig 1b is not the one showing the inhibitory effect of fungicides. It was only showing the young and old cultures of <i>B. theobroma</i> on potato dextrose agar. Figure showing inhibitory effect of fungicide is Fig 2.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	For revision please go through the PDF file attached.	1. FAOSTAT has been updated on pineapple production 2. PDA is a general purpose medium for fungi isolation 3. Statistical package used has been indicated 4. All other corrections have been effected 5. References have been formatted according to the journal instruction.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In general, the manuscript is well written with few minor corrections and the English used is satisfactory. There are few basic problems that need to be addressed as mentioned and maons jor revision is required in manuscript. The manuscript can be reconsidered after fulfilling the above mentioned deficiencies.	