SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_23238**
Title of the Manuscript:	**In-vitro Evaluation of some Fungicides against Botrydiplodia theobromae: Causal Pathogen of Pineapple Dieback**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Articles**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The concentration of the tested fungicides did not mentioned, these fungicides contain different concentration of a.i. I could understand how the author(s) have tested different fungicides using only one concentration, and they did not consider the variations between fungicides in a.i**	1. Manufacturers' instructions were followed since there were different instructions for each fungicide. 2. Instructions were written on the containers of the fungicides. 3. All other corrections have been effected.
Minor REVISION comments	Tow references did not included in the list of references	The two missing references have been included
Optional/General comments		