



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22538
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact Of Preceding Legumes And Nitrogen Fertilizer On Soil Fertility And Growth Attribute Of Maize
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Critical analysis and interpretation of Tables lack in this manuscript. Also, the discussion is rather low with very old literature cited. The author needs to go over again and critically analyse the Tables and interpret them correctly. In Table 2 for example where N x L is mentioned, there is nothing to show the interaction effect. Also some findings are not discussed, for example the behaviour of soil parameters in relation to legumes and N levels.	NxL is non significant that why not given in table. other correction made according to the suggestion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Language (grammar) used in some sentences is wanting. Also organization or sequence of reporting needs to be improved.	Rewrite some sentences to remove the grammatical mistake.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper as it is fits well as a short communication. Otherwise if improved and more parameters added, it can be a good paper for the journal. The scope of the study is rather narrow.	