SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_22538**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Impact Of Preceding Legumes And Nitrogen Fertilizer On Soil Fertility And Growth Attribute Of Maize**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	This situation is associated with deteriorating fertility of the soils and consequent emergence of multiple nutrient deficiencies including those of micronutrients. (Give reference) Organic manuring by way of direct application of conventional farmyard manure, mulching or green manuring has declined over the years due to various operational constraints (Give reference) There is no objective(s) justification for the experiment. The Materials and Methods must be re-write. Environmental and soil condition must be stated e.g. climatic (rainfall, temperature, humidity and soil type. Summarised the physic-chemical methods used to carry out soil analysis and at what depth in meters did samples were taken. Spell out the parameters taken Line 124: Increase in ear weight is due the pleasant effect of…….. (Rewrite). Remove pleasant rewrite like this: Increase in ear weight is due to effect of…….. Line 130 the effect of legumes was not significant. (Grammatical error) legumes were not was. Line 153 Conclusions and recommendation: This	Correction made according to reviewer comments.

	section must be rewrite. It does not make any sense.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		