



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22138
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Resistance of Three Tomato Varieties to Bacterial Wilt and Stem Rot
Type of the Article	Full Research paper: Plant Pathology

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors used simple and plain language to make the write up user friendly. However there are some areas that the author over-elaborated concepts. For example it is not necessary to explain how probability levels are interpreted. Assume certain level of understanding by readers. -Avoid discussing non significant results as they might be due to chance factors	Thanks for the remarks. In the revised version of the manuscript all modifications are done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Subheadings when writing abstract are not necessary. It is true that this is a miniature paper where all covered under different subheadings are necessary but a paragraph can do.	Thanks for the remarks. In what concerning subheadings in abstract this is done according with guidelines' in template. But if the editor agreed with the reviewer we remove all subheadlings.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	More varieties (treatments) could have been included	The experiment took place because we received in zone of study two news varieties which were presented to the farmers. We had to compare these varieties with the only one usually used . Why the work was conducted only with three varieties.