



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22138
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Resistance of Three Tomato Varieties to Bacterial Wilt and Stem Rot
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work has the character of original research article.	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract should be revised. Abstract can not have headlines as: Aim :, Study design :, Place and duration of study :, Methodology Results :, Conclusion :. I recommend begin the abstract: The aim of research was to compare conditions. In the abstract and keywords and elsewhere, should be used Instead – “bacterial wilt and Erwinia stem rot” - <i>Pseudomonas solanacearum</i> and <i>Erwinia carotovora</i>. (by IPGRI 1996)* Parts of abstract: Study design :, Place and duration of study :, Methodology belong to Chapter 2 - Materials and methods. Part of abstract: The incidence to be high. - Keep in the abstract. Keywords - Omit: Cameroon	Thanks to the reviewer for the pertinent remarks. Abstract has headlines according to template and in past issues all article are presented with headline. But if new guidelines are like that we will do it. We agreed with the reviewer and thanks for the precision on IPGRI 1996.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	On line 123 and elsewhere, instead of “one and two watering-can of water” - how many liters per 1 square meter On line 130 should be: Beds of 5 m long and 2 m wide On line 174 and 177: In what units it is expressed <i>p</i> and <i>S</i>? On line 174: formula (equation) does not make sense. They were artificially infected? Because the disease does not always appearing. On line 330, you say that: bacterial wilt caused by <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>. In line 166 you write that: bacterial wilt caused by <i>Pseudomonas solanacearum</i> ? on line 327 the pictures have not denouncing ability. Add to the references: • Citation IPGRI. 1996. Descriptors for Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon</i> spp.). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy, 44 pp., ISBN 92-9043-294-2.	All remarks were corrected in revised version There was no artificial infection. Diseases appeared in natural conditions.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Experiments of this kind should be carried out three years, but also accept two years. .Varietal trials should test at least 10 varieties (three is few).	The experiments were carried out two growing seasons (2 ans). We use three varieties because the problem was to compare the resistance of the news hybrids with the popular used variety which was always infected in the zone even using fungicides and nematicide.