



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22138
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Resistance of Three Tomato Varieties to Bacterial Wilt and Stem Rot
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The MS compare the level of resistance of some new hybrids with the popularly known variety Rio Grande against bacterial wilt and <i>Erwinia</i> stem rot under natural conditions, which is useful for cultivation of the varieties in the field.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. I do not know whether the pathogens were inoculated in to the experimental fields, if not, how to confirm the disease was happened in the field? 2. In the results parts, author should rewrite and add tables other than "mean $\pm$" in the main text. 3. There were too much subtitle in the result parts.	1. In previous investigations in the same experimental site after using fungicides and nematicides under tomato we observed that plants were more infected, and symptoms observed were those of bacterial diseases. These soil content in natural conditions pathogens. 2. Remarks are pertinent and were taken into consideration. 3. We delete some subtitles in result parts
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		