



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22138
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Resistance of Three Tomato Varieties to Bacterial Wilt and Stem Rot
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract Authors should make sure they follow the science domain guidelines strictly Key words – More than the required words Introduction Line14-15 - Statement should be recast to make meaning Line 45-46 - Statement not suitable there, hence should be taken to recommendation if not already inclusive there Materials and Methods Line 54 - should be written in full first then subsequently in abbreviations Discussion Line 366- word should be deleted Line 399 – one punctuation should be removed	We follow the template, in the abstract the remarks made are fundamental and take all of them into consideration. Keywords were reduced according to the reviewer. All remarks in Line 14-15; Line 45-46; Line 54; Line 366; Line 399 were corrected in the revised version. Thanks to the reviewer for all the remarks that we take into consideration in the revised manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		