SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_15139**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Variability of fruit and seed characters and oil content of 35 accessions of Jatropha curcas L. grown in a semi-aride site in Senegal**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Editorial comment

	Editor's comment	**Author's comment**
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This is rather interesting paper about Jatropha morphological and genetic variability. But language of the manuscript is very poor and there are number of grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript. In many places two or three words are typed together (lines – 24, 50, 51, 55, 59, 68, 72, 128, 140, 160, 180, 182, 188, etc.) Key words are too little. You made very important conclusion about eco-geographical diversity of the accessions. In such way you have to mention eco-geographical information in Results and Discussion when you say about studied accessions.	Modified parts in the manuscript are highlighted in yellow.
Optional/General comments		