



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13561
Title of the Manuscript:	The Use of a Protein Enhanced Surfactant to Increase Yield of Alfalfa
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The manuscript needs revision before considered for publication - Results and discussions should be improved even table and chart presentation Ethical issue: Why physiology changes and alfalfa growth were not investigated?	I have made extensive changes to discussion section. More references are now present relating data in charts to findings. For ethical issue: Time and money constraints. I have taken this issue up with the company and it is being reviewed for further study. Studies done in other soil types and climates are being explored as well.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Please check the introduction reference (Podella et al. 2008)	Reference is present. I can provide article upon request.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- For the discussions and conclusions part, tables and charts were not mentioned and I couldn't found the table or chart for corresponding interpretation.	Changes have been made.