

Original Research Article
**The Potential of Jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*)
as a Replacement for Soybean (*Glycine max*) in
Broiler Starter and Finisher Diets**

Author details:
K.E. Akande ^{1*}

¹ *Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi State, Nigeria.*
kemi777akande@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This research study was carried out to evaluate the utilization of treated jack bean meal (JBM) and to determine its replacement value for soybean meal in broiler diets. Jack bean meal was incorporated at 0, 5, 10, 15 and 20% levels in both starter and finisher rations. A total of one hundred day old Ross broiler chicks were randomly allotted to five dietary treatments with two replicates in a completely randomised design (CRD). Parameters measured were daily feed intake, daily weight gain, feed conversion ratio, final live weight, carcass weight and internal organ weights. Gross examination of internal organs was also conducted. During 0-4 weeks of age, it was observed that as the level of JBM increased in the diets, there was significant ($P<0.05$) reduction in daily feed intake and daily weight gain among the dietary treatments. However, the feed conversion ratio was not significantly ($P>0.05$) affected by the dietary treatments. At the finishing phase of 5-9 weeks of age, daily feed intake was significantly ($P<0.05$) reduced as the inclusion level of JBM increased, while daily weight gain and feed conversion ratio showed no significant ($P>0.05$) difference between the control and other treatments, this observation may be due to tolerance of birds as they grew older. The experiment lasted for 9 weeks. Gross examination of the internal organs show no trace of macroscopic lesion, necrosis or haemorrhage among dietary treatments. Conclusively, it is possible to incorporate JBM up to 20% in broiler diet without deleterious effect on performance of broilers.

Keywords: *Starter diet; finisher diet; jack bean; soybean; performance; unconventional protein sources.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The escalating cost of feeding poultry has been majorly due to the high cost of the protein ingredients used in feed formulation. This situation as has therefore, resulted to the increasing search for affordable and locally available alternative feed ingredients. Alternate protein sources are in demand by poultry feed industries in Nigeria, this is particularly due to the economic situation which limits the used of soybean (*Glycine max*) meal and groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) cake. Soybean is among the major feed ingredients in poultry feeds, generally representing about 20-35% of the formulated diets (Oyawoye E. O. 1999 personal communication). Additionally, soybean along with groundnut also forms part of the staple food for humans in Nigeria. Consequently, the high cost of soybean is because of the demand of both human populace and livestock for this important legume seed.

Hence, there arise the need to exploit the nutritional and feeding potentials of other neglected and/or under-utilized novel plant protein sources to serve as possible alternatives, in view of the continuous demand and pressure on these conventional and traditionally used protein sources arising from increasing multiple uses. It has been well documented that the traditional or

conventional feedstuffs used for compounding livestock feeds can longer sufficiently meet the needs of the fast growing livestock industry in Nigeria. Abubakar [2] mentioned that the sustainability of animal agriculture will be ensured by increasing the supply of livestock feedstuffs particularly from unconventional sources.

Canavalia ensiformis, an unconventional legume also known as jack bean, has great potential as a possible plant protein ingredient in animal feed. Jack bean has its origin in the western part of India and Central America [21]. Jack bean varieties, which are vigorous, exceptionally productive, large-seeded tropical legumes were originally grown in the drought ridden regions of Arizona and Mexico in ancient times and utilized as high-protein food and forage crops for many centuries by natives of Mexico, South Western United States, Central American countries, Peru, Ecuador, Brazil, West Indies, Bolivia, Paraguay and Argentina.

According to [15] jack bean has good nutritive quality for feed formulation and utilization. The proximate composition of jack bean as determined by [15] is presented in g/100g as crude carbohydrate 41.26, crude protein 24.32, crude fat 3.17, crude fibre 6.13 and ash 2.11. Abitogun and Olasehinde [1] reported that Jack bean exhibits great potentials for inclusion in animal diets based on their result findings on the proximate analysis and mineral composition of jack bean. Additionally, its nutritional quality is similar to some commonly used legumes. This quality makes it suitable for feeding poultry and other non-ruminants [3, 9,10, 11, 16, 24, 26].

Canavalia ensiformis seeds can be used as an animal feed ingredient, since they are a good source of starch and protein [12]. However, in order to be used as an animal feed ingredient, *Canavalia ensiformis* seeds would have to be thermally processed in order to detoxify antinutritional factors present in the raw seeds. Heating denatures protein and makes them more susceptible to attack by digestive enzymes making them more available to the animal [14]. However careful control of heating is essential to prevent functional as well as nutritional damage to proteins resulting from over heating.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Procedure Employed in the Detoxification of Raw Seeds of Jack Bean

Raw dry seeds of jack bean were treated using the following procedure. Two percent (2%w/v) wood ash solution was prepared by dissolving 2kg of wood ash in 100 litres of tap water, giving a ratio of 1: 50 w/v. One hundred kilogram (100kg) of jack bean seeds were then soaked in 300 litres of wood ash solution for 48 hours, at ambient temperature, with stirring taking place every 12 hours for 1-2 minutes. The ratio of jack beans to wood ash solution was 1:3 (w/v). The wood ash solution was used in the soaking of jack beans was discarded and the jack bean were rinsed with fresh tap water. Cooking of these beans in fresh 2% (w/v) wood ash solution was done for 90 minutes. The solution used in cooking the beans was drained off. The jack beans were rinsed again with fresh tap water, sundried and ground using hammer mill. The jack bean thus treated, was then used in the formulation of the experimental diets.

2.2 Experimental Design

A total of a hundred day-old Ross broiler chicks of Anak 2000 strain were used for the purpose of this experiment. Before commencement of the experiment the day old chicks were weighed and randomly assigned to five dietary treatments with two replications in a completely randomised design. Processed jack bean meal was included at 0, 5, 10, 15 and 20% levels in both the starter and finisher broiler diets (Table 1 and 2). Diets formulated were isonitrogenous and isocaloric.

94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101

Table 1: Composition of experimental starter diets (0-4 weeks)

Ingredient	(JBM) 0%	(JBM) 5%	(JBM) 10%	(JBM) 15%	(JBM) 20%
Maize	44.21	42.50	40.50	39.07	37.32
Jack bean meal	-	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.00
Soybean (full fat)	36.66	33.37	30.11	26.80	23.55
Maize bran	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Fish meal	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Bone meal	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78	1.78
Oyster shell	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
DL-methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Min/vit/premix*	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Calculated analyses					
Crude protein	22.00	22.10	22.19	22.29	22.38
Metabolisable energy	3146.18	3125.32	3104.45	3083.60	3062.73
Proximate analysis					
Dry Matter	90.52	90.60	90.84	90.50	90.70
Crude Protein	23.06	22.56	23.06	22.94	22.4
Crude Fibre	4.98	4.93	5.41	5.01	5.63
Ether extract	4.29	4.34	4.19	4.09	3.98
Ash	7.61	7.21	7.21	6.42	6.77

102 * Supplied per kg diet, the following:-
103 Vitamin A, (5,000,000 I.U), Vitamin D3, (1,000,000 I.U), Vitamin E, (24.00g), Vitamin K3,
104 (1.00g), Vitamin B1, (1.20g), Vitamin B2, (2.80g), Nicotinic Acid, (16.00g), Calcium D-
105 Panthothenate (4.00g), Vitamin B6 (5.00g), Vitamin B12, (16.00mg), Folic Acid, (0.40g), Biotin,

106 (0.028g), Choline chloride, (120g), Zinc Bacitracin, (16.00g), Manganese, (40.00g), Iron,
 107 (20.00g), Zinc, (18.00g), Copper, (0.80g), Iodine, (0.62g), Cobalt, (0.10g), Selenium, (0.04g).
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112

Table 2: Composition of experimental finisher diets (5-9 weeks)

Ingredient	(JBM) 0%	(JBM) 5%	(JBM) 10%	(JBM) 15%	(JBM) 20%
Maize	46.71	45.00	43.26	41.57	39.82
Jack bean meal	-	5.00	10.00	15.00	20.00
Soybean (full fat)	36.66	33.37	30.11	26.80	23.55
Maize bran	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00
Fish meal	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Bone meal	1.76	1.76	1.76	1.76	1.76
Oyster shell	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62
DL-methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Min/vit/premix*	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	100	100	100	100	100
Calculated analyses					
Crude protein	20.00	20.10	20.19	20.29	20.38
Metabolisable energy	3164.53	3143.76	3122.80	3101.96	3080.41
Proximate analysis					
Dry Matter	90.75	91.14	91.04	90.98	91.65
Crude Protein	20.06	20.25	19.88	20.31	20.44
Crude Fibre	4.88	4.96	5.04	5.16	5.03
Ether extract	4.20	4.41	4.27	4.06	3.87
Ash	6.16	6.79	6.29	6.15	5.95

113 * Supplied per kg diet, the following:-
 114 Vitamin A, (5,000,000 I.U), Vitamin D3, (1,000,000 I.U), Vitamin E, (24.00g), Vitamin K3,
 115 (1.00g), Vitamin B1, (1.20g), Vitamin B2, (2.80g), Nicotinic Acid, (16.00g), Calcium D-
 116 Panthothenate (4.00g), Vitamin B6 (5.00g), Vitamin B12, (16.00mg), Folic Acid, (0.40g), Biotin,

(0.028g), Choline chloride, (120g), Zinc Bacitracin, (16.00g), Manganese, (40.00g), Iron, (20.00g), Zinc, (18.00g), Copper, (0.80g), Iodine, (0.62g), Cobalt, (0.10g), Selenium, (0.04g).

2.3 Birds and Management

Birds were raised on deep litter. The day old chicks were weighed and allocated randomly to five experimental diets. Feed and water were provided *ad-libitum* throughout the experimental period. Water troughs were washed daily before fresh water was served. During the feeding trial, the normal routine vaccination programme was followed.

2.4 Internal Organ Weights and their Gross Examination

At the end of the experiment, a total of thirty birds were used for gross examination of the internal organs. Six birds were used from each treatment group for the internal organs gross examination and internal organ weights. The heart, liver, lungs, pancreas, gizzard, spleen and intestine were weighed and expressed as a percentage of **live** body weight. Gross examination of these internal organs for macroscopic lesions, necrosis and haemorrhage were conducted.

2.5 Proximate Analysis

The proximate analyses of experimental starter and finisher diets (Table 1 and 2) were carried out according to the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists [4].

2.6 Statistical Analysis

All the data obtained were subjected to the analysis of variance [20]. When analysis of variance indicated a significant treatment effect, means were separated using the Duncan's multiple range test [8].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Daily Feed Intake

There were significant differences ($P<0.05$) among the dietary treatment in daily feed intake for broilers in the starting phase of 0-4 weeks of age. Daily feed intake was significantly reduced ($P<0.05$) at 20% level of inclusion of jack bean meal but the other dietary treatments were not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other (Table 3). Results on the daily feed intake of birds for 5-9 weeks showed that birds in the control group, that received 0% JBM were not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from those that received 5%, 10% and 15% JBM in their diets. Birds on 15% JBM diet recorded a value of 118.79g/day, which was not significantly different from the value of 109.46g/day for birds on 20% JBM (Table 4).

Daily feed intake of broilers were significantly ($P<0.05$) influenced by dietary treatments during the starting phase (0-4 weeks) and finishing phase (5-9 weeks). This result however, contradicts the findings of [23] who reported no significant ($P>0.05$) difference in the daily feed intake. However, results obtained from the present study on daily feed intake agree with those of [5, 6, 18]. The daily feed intake of birds that received the 5%, 10% and 15% JBM diets were not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from the control. It was noticeable that the daily feed intake of birds was reduced as the inclusion level of JBM increased in the diets. The reduction in daily feed intake was observed to be particularly marked at 20% inclusion level of JBM. The reduction in daily feed intake may be caused by the unpalatable nature of jack bean. Probably it was at 20% inclusion level of JBM that birds became sensitive to the unpalatability of jack bean and thus responded by a drastic fall in feed intake.

3.2 Daily Weight Gain

On one hand, the daily weight gain of broilers fed graded levels of jack bean meal during the starter phase (0-4 weeks) was significantly ($P<0.05$) affected by dietary treatments. The weight gains of birds were significantly reduced as the inclusion level of jack bean meal increased in the diet (Table 3). Birds fed the 0% JBM diet had the highest daily weight gain of 26.71g/day while birds on the 20% JBM diet had the lowest daily weight gain of 19.24g/day.

On the other hand, the daily weight gain of birds during the finishing phase of 5-9 weeks was not significantly ($P>0.05$) different among the dietary treatments (Table 4). The daily weight gain ranged from 44.67g/day for birds on the 20% JBM diet to 52.96g/day for those on the 10% JBM diet.

Daily weight gain was significantly ($P<0.05$) affected by the dietary treatments during the starter phase (0-4) of the feeding trial. The daily weight gain of birds in the control group (0% JBM) was not significantly different from those of the birds fed 5%, 10% and 15% JBM diets. The reduction in daily weight gain as the inclusion level of JBM increased in the diets during this period (0-4 weeks) was paralleled by a fall in daily consumption of feed, suggesting that the gradual decrease in growth rates was as a result of low rates of feed consumption. Kessler et al. [11] made similar report when they fed autoclaved jack beans to birds.

Daily weight gain of broilers showed no significant ($P>0.05$) variation among the dietary treatment at 5-9 weeks of age. Therefore, it could be explained that as birds grew older they were able to gain weight despite the reduction in feed intake observed during this period of the trial. This result is indicative of the success achieved in eliminating growth retarding factors in jack bean. This confirmed the findings of [23] who reported a non-significant ($P>0.05$) effect on daily weight gain of broilers fed two-stage cooked jack bean. The present finding is, however, contrary to the reports of [7] who stated that daily weight gain was significantly ($P<0.05$) influenced by dietary treatments. D'Mello et al. [5] also reported a highly significant reduction ($P<0.01$) effect on daily weight gain. Differences in the response of birds to high levels of JBM as reported by different researchers may be due to the method employed in the detoxification of raw jack beans used in their experiment. This is because some methods may not result in complete inactivation of the growth retarding factors found in jack beans.

3.3 Feed Conversion Ratio

Feed conversion ratio of broilers at 0-4 weeks was not significantly ($P>0.05$) influenced by the dietary treatments. The feed conversion ratio of birds ranged from 1.48 for the 0% JBM diet to 1.69 for the 20% JBM diet (Table 3). There was no significant ($P>0.05$) difference in the feed conversion ratio of birds during 5-9 weeks of the feeding trial. The feed conversion ratio ranged from 2.45 for birds on the 0%, 10% and 20% to 2.49 for birds on the 5% JBM diets (Table 4).

Feed conversion ratio of birds for 0-4 weeks, 5-9 weeks feeding trials showed no significant ($P>0.05$) difference among the dietary treatments. Interestingly, the statistically similar feed conversion ratio recorded for all the dietary treatments at various growing phases and throughout the experimental period, suggests that all diets were equally efficient for weight gain. Birds demonstrated the ability to efficiently and maximally utilize jack bean meal in their diet. This result is in agreement with the findings of [7] that fed autoclaved jack beans to broilers and obtained similarity in their feed conversion ratio. Kessler et al. [11] also reported that the feed conversion ratio of birds that received autoclaved jack bean was not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from that of the control group. Udedibie et al. [23] fed two-stage cooked jack beans to broilers and found that feed conversion ratio of birds exhibited a non-significant ($P>0.05$) effect among dietary treatments.

3.4 Final Live Weight and Carcass Weight (Dressed Weight)

The final live weight of birds showed no significant ($P>0.05$) variation among the dietary treatments. This was in line with the reports of [11]. Carcass weight followed a similar trend as observed in the final live weight with dietary treatment effect not being statistically significant ($P>0.05$). Broilers on the 0% JBM diet had the highest weight of 1691.70g while those on the 20% JBM diet had the lowest value of 1400.00g (Table 4). It was evident that irrespective of the dietary treatments, birds were able to utilize jack bean effectively for gains in weight.

Table 3: Effect of graded levels of jack bean meal (JBM) on performance parameters of broilers from 0 to 4 weeks of age

Performance parameters	Dietary level of Jack bean meal (JBM)					SEM
	0% JBM	5% JBM	10% JBM	15% JBM	20% JBM	
Daily feed intake (g/day/chick)	39.49 ^a	39.76 ^a	38.00 ^a	37.38 ^a	32.37 ^b	±0.85
Daily weight intake (g/day/chick)	26.71 ^a	26.52 ^a	23.12 ^{ab}	22.73 ^{ab}	19.24 ^b	±1.18
Feed conversion ratio (g.feed/g.gain)	1.48	1.50	1.65	1.65	1.69	±0.10

Means followed by different superscript letters in the same row differ significantly to $P\leq 0.05$

Table 4: Dietary influence of graded levels of jack bean meal (JBM) on performance parameters of broilers from 5 to 9 weeks of age

Performance parameters	Dietary level of Jack bean meal (JBM)					SEM
	0% JBM	5% JBM	10% JBM	15% JBM	20% JBM	
Daily feed intake (g/day/chick)	126.07 ^a	128.54 ^a	129.68 ^a	118.79 ^{ab}	109.46 ^b	±3.03
Daily weight gain (g/day/chick)	51.68	51.65	52.96	48.14	44.67	±1.98
Feed conversion ratio (g.feed/g.gain)	2.45	2.49	2.45	2.47	2.45	±0.08
Final live weight (g)	2591.20	2585.90	2536.30	2357.50	2142.50	±85.40
Carcass weight (g)	1691.70	1675.00	1625.00	1416.70	1400.00	±80.20

Means followed by different superscript letters in the same row differ significantly to $P\leq 0.05$

3.5 Internal organ weights (as a percentage of body weight)

The weight of the internal organs of broilers showed insignificant ($P>0.05$) difference among the dietary treatments (Table 5). Sometimes, the weight of the internal organs such as the heart, liver, lungs, pancreas, gizzard, spleen and intestine is measured to examine the effect of the test ingredients on the recipient animal [22]. Nesheim and Garlich [17] Demonstrated that birds are particularly sensitive to anti-nutritional factors even over short periods. Trypsin inhibitor has been implicated as one of the factors capable of reducing protein digestibility and inducing pancreatic hypertrophy [13]. It is generally acknowledged that hepatic hypertrophy implicates canavanine as one of the casual agents since, being an analogue of arginine, canavanine can act as an antagonist in the normal production of liver protein, impairing liver function and

259 producing a hypertrophic effect [19]. It is therefore, clear that the absence of hypertrophic effect
 260 on the pancreas and liver suggests the complete inactivation of trypsin inhibitor and canavanine
 261 respectively. It is evident that there was normal protein digestion and protein synthesis.

262
 263
 264 **Table 5: Dietary Influence of varying levels of jack bean meal (JBM) on the**
 265 **relative internal organ weights of broilers.**
 266

Internal organs (% body weight)	Dietary level of Jack bean meal (JBM)					SEM
	0%JBM	5%JBM	10% JBM	15% JBM	20% JBM	
Gizzard	1.89	1.84	1.92	1.93	1.57	±0.14
Liver	1.97	1.84	1.93	1.74	2.00	±0.11
Heart	0.44	0.36	0.52	0.35	0.46	±0.10
Pancreas	0.26	0.22	0.28	0.19	0.27	±0.02
Lungs	0.67	0.55	0.56	0.51	0.61	±0.04
Spleen	0.14	0.10	0.16	0.12	0.15	±0.02
Intestine	3.50	3.52	3.44	3.59	3.41	±0.14

267

268 3.6 Gross Examination of Internal Organs

269

270 Gross examination for **macroscopic** lesions, necrosis and haemorrhage made on the heart,
 271 liver, lungs, pancreas, gizzard, spleen and intestine showed no trace of lesions, necrosis or
 272 haemorrhage in any of the intestinal organs examined for all different dietary treatments.
 273 **Kessler et al. [11]** reported that the absence of lesions on the small intestine suggests the
 274 elimination of lectins (haemagglutinins) during cooking of jack beans. The susceptibility of anti-
 275 nutritional factors to thermal inactivation was apparent from the absence of lesions in birds fed
 276 jack bean meal at varying inclusion levels. It is therefore conceivable that a complete
 277 inactivation of residual toxic effects was achieved and thus proving the efficacy of the method
 278 employed in detoxifying jack beans.

279

280 4. CONCLUSIONS

281

282 Based on the outcome this research study, it is possible to use JBM in both starter and finisher
 283 broiler diets up to the inclusion level of 20% without negatively affecting broiler performance.
 284 Hence, it is worth noting that processed jack bean meal has great potential for utilization in
 285 formulating poultry feeds in the future if properly exploited. They may be particularly required or
 286 used where conventional protein sources are either expensive or not readily available. This
 287 result is evidence that the procedure employed in this research for detoxifying jack bean was
 288 successfully achieved. Future research may be carried out on other poultry birds and in
 289 addition, further study may be conducted on possible methods of improving the palatability of
 290 *Canavalia ensiformis* seeds for enhancing feed intake in poultry.

291

292

293 REFERENCES

- 294 1. Abitogun, AS and Olasehinde, EF. Nutritional evaluation of seed and characterization of
 295 crude jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) oil. Journal of Applied Chemistry. 2012. 1(6): 36-
 296 40

- 297 2. Abubakar, MM. Utilization of unconventional feedstuffs for sustainable livestock
298 production (Inaugural lecture series number 9) Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University,
299 Bauchi, Nigeria, pp 1-5. 1998.
- 300 3. Akande, KE. Proximate Composition and Amino Acid Profile of Raw and Processed
301 Seeds of Jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) (L) (DC). African Journal of Natural Sciences.
302 2004. 7:50-51
- 303 4. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th edition, Association of Official Analytical
304 Chemists, Washington D.C. 1990.
- 305 5. D'Mello, JPF, Acamovic, T, and Walker, AG. Nutritive value of jack bean (*Canavalia*
306 *ensiformis*) for young chicks. Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad). 1985. 62: 145-150.
- 307 6. D'Mello, JPF, Walker, AG and Noble, E. Effects of dietary supplements on the nutritive
308 value of jack beans (*Canavalia ensiformis*) for the young chicks. British Poultry Science,
309 1990. 31: 759-768
- 310 7. D'Mello, JPF and Walker, AG. Detoxification of jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*): studies
311 with young chicks. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 1991. 33: 117-127.
- 312 8. Duncan, DB. Multiple range and multiple F-Test Biometrics, 1955. 11:1-42
- 313 9. Fabiyi, KE. Utilization of Jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis* (L).DC) meal as Replacement
314 for Soybean meal in Broiler Diets. M.Sc Thesis, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University,
315 Bauchi State, Nigeria, 85pp. 1999
- 316 10. Herrera, F, Gutierrez, M, Cupul, S, Ferriovo, M, Carabano, JM and Montilla, JJ. (1981
317 The effect of incorporation of *Canavalia ensiformis* seed into a ration for laying hens at 10
318 and 20%. Tropical Animal Production. 1981. 6: 775 – 776
- 319 11. Kessler, M, Belmar, R and Ellis, N. Effects of autoclaving on the nutritive value of seed
320 *Canavalia ensiformis* (jack beans) for chicks. Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad). 1990. 67:
321 116-120.
- 322 12. Leon, AL, Michelangeli, C, Vargas, RE, Carballo, JM, Risso, J and Montilla, JJ. *Valor*
323 *Nutricional de los Granos de Canavalia ensiformis en Dietas para Aves y Cerdos*
324 *Memories of the Seminario Taller Sobre Canavalia ensiformis*; Maracay, Venezuela.
325 1991.
- 326 13. Liener, IE. Legume toxins in relation to protein digestibility – a review. Journal of Food
327 Science, 1976. 41: 1076-1081.
- 328 14. Liener, IE. Heat labile anti-nutritional factors. In: Advances in Legume Science, (eds.
329 Summerfield, R.J. and Bunting, A.H.), Kew, London, Royal Botanic Gardens, 1980. pp.
330 157-170.
- 331 15. Marimuthu, M and Gurumoorthi, P. Physiochemical and functional properties of starches
332 from Indian jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*), an underutilized wild food legume. Journal
333 of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2013. 5(1): 221-225
- 334 16. Montilla, JJ, Ferrero, M, Cupul, S, Gutierrez, M and Preston, T. Preliminary observations:
335 The effects of ensilage and heat treatment on *Canavalia ensiformis* seeds in diets of
336 poultry. Tropical Animal Production. 1981. 6:376-377
- 337 17. Nesheim, MC and Garlich, JD. Digestibility of unheated soybean for laying hens. Journal
338 of Nutrition, 1996. 88: 187-192

- 339 18. Ologhobo, AD, Apata, DF and Oyejide, A. Utilization of raw jack bean (*Canavalia*
340 *ensiformis*) and jack bean fraction in diets for broiler chicks. British Poultry Science. 1993.
341 34: 323-337.
- 342 19. Rosenthal, GA. Plant Non-Protein Amino and Imino Acids: Biological, Biochemical and
343 toxicological properties New York and London, Academic Press, pp. 95-113. 1982.
- 344 20. Steel, RGD and Torrie, JH. Principles and procedures of statistics. A biometrical
345 approach. Second edition. McGraw- Hill Book Company 1980.
- 346 21. Thompson, LV, Tenebaum, AV and Hui, H. Effects of Lectins and the Mixing of Proteins
347 on Rate of protein Digestibility. Journal of Food Science 51 (1), 150-152. 1986.
- 348 22. Udedibie, ABI, Nwaiwu, J, Madubuike F, Nkwocha, CO and Immo, IO. Jack bean
349 (*Canavalia ensiformis*): Poison turned to good protein feed. Federal University of
350 Technology Owerri, Nigeria. 1988.
- 351 23. Udedibie, ABI, Esonu, BO, Unachukwu, C and Iwuoha, NC. Two-stage cooking as a
352 method of improving the nutritive value of jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) for broilers.
353 Nigerian Journal of Animal Production, 1996. 23(2):107-110
- 354 24. Udedibie, ABI. Nutritional evaluation of jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) for the Nigerian
355 poultry industry. Ambio. 1990 19: 361-365
- 356 25. Udedibie, ABI and Nkwocha, CO. Comparative study of jack bean and jack bean as
357 protein supplements for young broiler chicks. Nigerian Agricultural Journal, 1990. 24: 7-
358 14.
- 359 26. Wyss, U and Biejel, H. Ripe beans of *Canavalia ensiformis* (jack bean) as feed ingredient
360 for monogastric animals. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 1988. 20: 325- 326.
361
362
363
364