SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_15609**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative performance of Pyrethrum (*Chrysanthemum cinerariifolium* Treviranus) extract and Cypermethrin on some field insect pests of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea.* L.) in southeastern Nigeria.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Consult the manuscript to see the observations raised about spelling mistakes of some technical terms, furrow, spacing, interactions in, especially, Tables 1 and 3, *et cetera*.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Re-visit all shortcomings similar to those raised above to improve the paper's standard.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Alhassan Idris Gabasawa**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Soil Science, Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria**