SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_12956**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Future strategies towards mirid (Hemiptera: Miridae) and other insect pests control in cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) in Ghana**
Type of the Article	**Opinion Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper is suitable for publication.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 86: The word was should changed to were Line 87: The word is should be changed to was Line 89: The word use should be changed to used Line 97 and 98: The entire sentence should be looked at again. Line 237 and 238: The sentence beginning with *to this should be added* should be looked at	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Ghana**